Attacks on HINDUISM

and its DEFENCE FOREVER

SANJEEV NEWAR

Attacks on

HINDUISM

and its

DEFENSE

FOREVER

Attacks on

HINDUISM

and its

DEFENSE

FOREVER

SANJEEV NEWAR

Attacks on Hinduism and its Defense Forever

Copyright © 2017 by Agniveer

All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in whole or in part, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Agniveer.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered.

For information contact

books@agniveer.com

Book Design and Composition by Ronak Trivedi

First Edition: March 2017

Preface

India has been under attack for over a millennium. Before the 19th century, invaders used to come with swords and would butcher anyone they could find to spread their religion. Millions of women were raped, enslaved and shipped to Arab and Central Asia. Invaders destroyed thousands of temples, desecrated millions of idols and burnt many libraries of innumerable holy books. All this was carried out to punish 'idolaters of Hind' who did not believe in their version of God. The attacks continued to annihilate the entire population of idol worshippers.

But then 'idolaters' retaliated. The brave children of Indian soil destroyed the century-old foreign empires from their roots. Raja Dahir, Bappa Rawal, Rana Sanga, Maharana Pratap, Shivaji, Guru Gobind Singh and warriors from South and North-East India saved more people from getting looted, raped, converted and killed. They saved temples, idols, and holy books from further destruction. Millions of warriors laid their lives fighting the barbarians in the hope that the flag of Dharma will fly high in the air.

Unfortunately, the attacks on India are still on. This time, it is not a sword, but bomb blasts and

terror attacks from across the border. **However, the worst form of attack is not physical but intellectual.** Intellectual terrorism from within the country is attacking India and its roots. The forces that attacked India with spears in the past are now attacking it with propaganda, which is - Hinduism - the root of India - is the most obscene, unscientific and misogynist religion.

Their plan is to make Indians feel ashamed of their roots, pride, and history so that Hindus convert to their religion. In fact, they have already achieved quite a success in this.

The reason behind the successful religious conversion of a vast number of Hindus is not that the conversion viruses are providing the most authentic references from Vedas – Foundation of Hinduism – or/and coming up with most scientific and logical explanations to defame Hinduism. In fact, readers can see the reflection of the most unscientific and perverted minds of conversion viruses in the references they have provided to defame Hinduism! What they do is simply follow four steps guide to prove Hinduism is a bad religion.

First, they simply choose any Sanskrit book which is obscure and unknown to Hindus. More obscure and unknown the book to Hindus, more scholarly will be their proofs. For example, they choose Grihya Sutra, Paraskar Samhita, Yajnvalkya Smriti, etc. or directly attack Vedas.

Then they quote some chapter number and verse number from the book like Zakir Naik.

To appear more scholarly, they write the Sanskrit script.

And then they put any damn meaning they want to give to the verse. For example, Rig Veda 22.1.13 means "Goli Maar Bheje Me, Bheja Shor Karta Hai." Hence, they prove that Vedas promote violence!

Finally, they repeat above four steps hundred times so that they become noted Indologists who know more about Hinduism than even Ram and Krishna. After all, who knows Sanskrit? Neither they nor their audience!

So, what is the reason behind the successful religious conversion of Hindus? The unfortunate but actual reason behind the successful religious conversion of Hindus is the **"ignorance of Hindus towards their**

true Sanatan Dharma."

Therefore, this book, forth of "Discover Hinduism" series, is designed to show Hindus the scientific and moral foundation of their religion. Book refutes more than 60 allegations trying to prove that Hinduism is the most unscientific religion, and sati pratha, niyog pratha, burkha pratha, polygamy, sex slavery, and vulgarity are part of Hindu culture and always been justified by Vedas and other Hindu scriptures. This book is a must-read for those who want to counter the conversion agenda of anti-India forces.

This work of Agniveer is aimed at continuing the legacy of our brave ancestors that did not let Dharma die even in darkest of times. When they attacked with swords; our brave warriors of Dharma fought with swords. Now when they are spreading intellectual terrorism; Agniveer is destroying them with facts, reason, and logic.

All proceeds from this book will go towards safe-guarding Sanatan Dharma by countering intellectual terrorism.

Note: *** has replaced certain extremely vulgar

words used by Hinduism haters in their allegations. Readers can check the original text elsewhere on the internet.

Let the truth prevail!

Sanjeev Newar
March 2017, New Delhi

Table Of Contents

Preface.....I
Chapter 1 : Hinduism - Obscene Religion.....1
Chapter 2 : Scientific Errors In Hinduism..... 36
Chapter 3 : Hinduism Denigrates Woman..... 62
Chapter 4 : Sexuality In Hindu Scriptures..... 105
About Author 128
About Agniveer 129

CHAPTER 1

HINDUISM - OBSCENE RELIGION

India today has become the center-stage of conversion virus. China and countries beyond China are too unapproachable for this virus. In rest of the world on the west of India, already whatever conversion had to happen has happened. Now it is only a game of jumping from one branch aka Christianity to another aka Islam and its small sub-branches in those locations.

India – Best climate for conversion viruses

However, in India, there a good match of Hindu and non-Hindu population so as to offer both an attractive number of potential followers, as well a large

number of agents and support-base to carry on the mission of Allah or God. Moreover, most importantly, just as India is known to have produced the greatest legends of history, the soil is also very fertile for birth and nurture of traitors who take pride in self-immolation. What else could have ensured that destruction of a symbol of shame erected by a compulsive homosexual, pedophile, mass murderer, and drug addict called Babur becomes such a significant issue in this country, instead of being an event of pride! Moreover, the impotent leadership coupled with loose controls ensures that any other terrorist like Ajmal or Afzal can easily siphon in men, money, RDX and everything else needed to reduce the proportion of Hindus, very easily. I can keep adding one reason after another, but that is not the purpose of this chapter.

To summarise, India offers the best climate to grow new followers for any foreign religion. Now religious Conversion is a very smart game. A large number of strategies are used with varied combination to achieve the goal of only one religion. One of the greatest ploys used these days for mass-level conversion activities is to showcase that Hinduism is the most obscene religion in the world. Not that this

ploy was not being used so far. However, in recent times, this particular tactic has become much more prominent for a variety of reasons which are not relevant to be discussed here for the sake of brevity.

But this is a very serious issue today. A Huge number of Hindus, **ignorant of their true Dharma**, and duped by internal as well as external frauds, eventually ends up starting to hate Hinduism due to this false propaganda. For them, Hinduism is nothing more than vulgar love-making scenes between Radha and Krishna, worship of male organ in the form of Shiva Linga, Vishnu and Shiva raping women, Mohini seducing Shiva, Brahma having relation with her daughter Saraswati, and many more such vulgar stories.

I have come across pamphlets being circulated across India showcasing these obscenities in Hinduism and appealing Hindus to embrace foreign religions to worship only true God and achieve true salvation. More and more Hindus are starting to hate their foundations, and even those who love Hinduism are not sure how to explain these stories and defend their Dharma. The existence of communist SICKULARS only adds to this mess.

The objective of this chapter is to put all the allegations in the right perspective and offer a toolkit to all Dharma lovers to be able to defend the truth and counter the false propaganda.

The concept of Dharma in Hinduism

The very first point to ascertain even before delving into each allegation of obscenity is whether that comes under the purview of Dharma in the first place? The concept of Dharma in Hinduism is completely different from the blind and irrational belief system of Quran or Bible.

First touchstone of Dharma

The universal definition of Dharma accepted across ALL sections of Hinduism is the eleven-fold path as propounded in Manu Smriti 6.92:

- Non-violence
- Patience
- Forgiving
- Self-control
- Non-Stealing
- Purity

- Control of Sense and Work organs
- Intellect
- Knowledge seeking
- Truthfulness
- Non-Anger

Anything and everything that transgresses or violates this eleven-fold path is not Hindu Dharma or Hinduism.

Second touchstone of Dharma

The second touchstone of Dharma is Veda. All Hindu texts very clearly and unambiguously mention that Vedas are the ultimate evidence.

Anything and everything that is against Vedas is not dharma.

The above two touchstones are one and same but from slightly different perspectives.

Third touchstone of Dharma

The same touchstone is elaborated in a slightly different manner in Yam and Niyam of Yoga Darshan:

- Yam - Non-violence, Truth, Non-Stealing, Brahmacharya (Self-control and NON-obscen-

ity), Non-Hoarding

- Niyam – Purity, Contentment, Putting strong efforts, Self-study or self-evaluation, Dedication to All Supreme.

Anything and everything that is against yam and niyam is not dharma.

Having defined these basic touchstones that are accepted by all sections of Hinduism, answering allegations becomes easy.

Because now our stand is very clear – **We do not defend them, we simply REJECT them.**

Allegation 1

Hindu texts contain vulgar stories.

Agniveer, how do you explain the vulgar stories in Hindu texts as alleged by these conversion activists and seculars?

Agniveer

Explanation is quite simple:

- None of these stories appears in **VEDAS,** which form the foundation of Hindu Dharma.

- Most of these stories appear in Puranas or Ramayan or Mahabharat.
- Except **VEDAS**, NO OTHER book is considered to be divine in Hinduism.
- Further, all these Purans or Ramayan or Mahabharat are NOT spared from adulterations in last 1000 years of foreign rule by perverts who had the singular agenda of destroying the cultural foundations of our country.

So these texts can be taken authentic ONLY to the extent they agree with **VEDAS.** Rest is simply adulteration and has to be rejected. In fact, it is ACTUALLY rejected by Hindus in practice.

Allegation 2

No Hindu texts have been adulterated.

Can you explain how you claim that these texts have been subjected to adulterations?

Agniveer

- Bhavishya Puran contains stories of Akbar, Victoria, Muhammad, and Jesus. It has shlokas talking about days of the week like Sunday

and Monday. However, these stories stopped in late 19th century when printing became popular. This act very clearly shows that shlokas continued to be added in this book.

- Geeta Press Gorakhpur publishes Ramayan and Mahabharat and has marked a significant number of shlokas as adulterated because they appear clearly out of context.
- Interestingly, Mahabharat 265.9.4 Shantiparva even claims that fraud people have added verses that talk about wine, meat-eating, vulgarity to demean the Vedic religion.
- Garud Puran Brahmakand 1.59 states that Mahabharat is being distorted to show vulgarity in Vedic Dharma.

Even a cursory reading of these texts is sufficient to make us realise the amount of adulterations that these books have been subjected to. Further, many of these stories have been mistranslated to suit the designs of fraud conversion viruses and their carriers.

Allegation 3

Adulterated texts are part of Hinduism.

How can you claim that these texts do not form part of Dharma?

Agniveer

Certainly, these texts do form part of our Dharma, but *ONLY* to the extent that they agree with **Vedas.** The evidence is in society itself.

No one takes the new unabridged Puranas seriously. (Except a very few people who have nothing to do with Hinduism and only want to showcase their supremacy on the basis of a fake birth-based caste system. These people are biggest hands-in-glove partners of conversion viruses who destroy our culture from inside.) The mainstream Hindu population or even their leaders do not even know the name of all Puranas. It is very difficult to get complete versions of Puranas today.

Only abridged versions or select passages from these books are available for the mainstream population. So ask for Ramayan, and most Hindus will give Ramcharitmanas. Ask for Mahabharat, and they will give Geeta. Ask for Purans, and they will at best give compilations of moral stories from these books. **It means that Hindus agree to these books ONLY to the**

extent that they are moral and as per Vedas.

They may make mistakes due to ignorance, but the goal is always to preach and practice within the ambit of Vedic religion.

Allegation 4

Radha-Krishna affair was vulgar.

Then why do Hindus worship Radha-Krishna if they reject the vulgar stories behind their affair?

Agniveer

Hindus *do not* worship these entities in the sense that conversion viruses want us to interpret.

Hindus are simple people who easily trust others. That is why they got fooled by foreign invaders for centuries. So, the first instinct of a typical Hindu is to trust others. Unlike a typical Zakir-type Muslim, who is trained to mistrust people unless they follow Quran and Rasul and nomadic Arabic traditions, a typical Hindu would trust any other person merely because he or she is a human being. This trustworthiness is a great strength but also a great weakness, especially when we are living in an area where there are too many mosquitoes flying around spreading

dengue and malaria.

Due to simple nature of Hinduism, Hindus have traditionally not been over-critical. They adopted and adapted worship methods over a period to seek goodness from everywhere. It is a different matter that they did not even realise that in the process, they have drifted so far from the source and have become virus prone.

"Radha" means success.

There is a Mantra in Yajur Veda 1/5 that seeks Radha or success from Ishwar to be able to stay resolved in accepting the truth and rejecting false.

Now, Krishna was an icon of such a resolve in his life. Someone somewhere down the line symbolised this Radha (or success) in the form of idols. The goal was noble, but in the process, we drifted from core foundations of Ishwar worship. Soon creativity kept being added, the Radha became a woman, stories were written to demean Krishna with a non-wife woman, and the game continued. Simple Hindus continue to worship Radha-Krishna even today with same pure feeling, completely unaware of the distortions that have happened in the process.

Few logical points:

- Ask any Hindu about the scripture which talks of Radha, and he will become silent. Hardly any Hindu knows about it.
- Radha does not appear in either Mahabharat or Harivansh or Bhagwat or any other authoritative text, to cite an example.
- To a typical Hindu, Radha is the symbol of purity even though he does not know why and how.
- Had Radha-Krishna relation been obscene in the minds of Hindus, that obscenity would have reflected in lives of Radha worshippers.
- However, the reality is different. Krishna worshippers are monogamous, often Brahmacharis and avoid free mingling with opposite gender.

Thus, for all practical matters, Hindus reject all false stories and all false books on Radha and Krishna.

Allegation 5

Linga worship is vulgar.

Shivalinga is disgusting. Why do Hindus worship

Shiva Linga if they reject the vulgar stories behind it?

Agniveer

Vulgarity lies in those minds that are desperate to project their own perverted mindset on whatever they see. Especially Hindu symbols, because for them, bashing Hindus is more fun than playing video games.

Shiva:

Shiva means Kalyan (blessed happiness for all). There is no word parallel to it in world dictionary. Hindus want happiness for all. That is why they recite "Om Namah Shivaya" – I humbly surrender to the Supreme who blesses all with happiness. No other philosophy in the world apart from Hinduism is rooted in seeking well-being for all without discrimination.

Linga:

Linga means a sign. Streelinga means something that can be symbolised as female. It can be an actual female or a thing that can be considered as a female. For example, Nadee (river), Lataa, etc. Pullinga is something that can be symbolised as male.

Shivalinga:

Shivalinga means something that can be symbolised as Shiva. Something on which we can associate our emotions of seeking blessed happiness for all.

Allegation 6

Male private organ as a symbol.

If this is so then why there is a male private organ as a symbol?

Agniveer

In Vedas, Supreme Lord is often referred to as a pillar (Skambha) that supports all goodness. That is the link between mortality and immortality, ordinariness and greatness.

That is why you will find pillars constructed in so many Hindu temples and architectures.

People who see the male private organ in Shivalinga would see the same in every pillar, lamp-post, iron rod, saria. This is a psychiatric disorder that can be treated with high-intensity electric shocks.

Additionally, Yog Sadhana also recommends a practice to focus on the flame. Again comes straight

from Vedas.

Shivalinga is nothing but a representation of that cosmic pillar on which we can concentrate (like on flame) and attribute our Shiva-emotions. That is why it is also called Jyotirlinga.

Jyoti – light that takes away the darkness inside you. The path of enlightenment that leads to divine brilliance. (Adityavarnam Tamasah Parastaat – Full of light, not darkness whatsoever).

It works phenomenally well. It has worked wonders for those who followed this approach to Yog Siddhi. That is why Shiva is called Source of all Yog Siddhis.

Allegation 7

Female private organ as a symbol.

But what about the female private organ (Yoni) at the bottom of the Shivalinga?

Agniveer

Again a perversion of thought. Yoni means a home. Not a house, but a home. Like your permanent address. That is why different species are called Yonis. When someone does something disgusting, we curse,

"You will take birth in Suwar (pig) Yoni." I don't want to curse anyone. But if they mock Hindus on their noble beliefs, this is what they deserve.

The Deepak is also Yoni of flame. Deepak provides the stable base on which flame burns. The cosmic pillar (Stambha) also needs a solid base that is foundation. Else pillar will fall. The pillar must be powerful and be able to provide stability in most adverse situations. Without a solid foundation on earth, the dream of heavens would be nothing but hallucinations of virgins and wine in seventh sky.

That is why we say Shiva needs foundation of Shakti (power). Shiva is the Linga. Shakti is the Yoni. This is the core of Shiva-Shakti relationship.

Multiple interpretations:

Vedas have a basic rule: Yat Pinde Tat Brahmande (What rules apply in the system under your observation, apply elsewhere as well.)

Thus same Shiva-Shakti relationship forms foundation of every constructive (and even destructive) things happening in world. When Shiva and Shakti merge, it is construction. When they disperse, it is destruction.

This applies in all aspects of life. People created symbolic stories out of this to show its interpretations in these different aspects. The source is Vedas. Practice is in Yoga. Purans and other texts provide different types of symbolisms, allegories etc.

Shakti is female because that is what we see in world. Woman provides stability and nurture. You must have got that nurture from your mother as well. Man ventures out because he has stability. This is symbolic.

If this symbolism appeals to you, take benefit out of it. Use it to master your mind and then soul. This is what Yogis do.

If you do not find this symbolism appealing, choose something else. But do not insult something just because you do not understand.

Allegation 8

Vulgar stories in Shiva Mahapuran.

But still, Shiva Mahapuran contains many vulgar stories, what about them?

Agniveer

What about stories in Hadiths, Quran, and Old Testament? What about private lives of communist legends? What about depression and frustration of atheistic icons?

Challenge the concept of Shiva from Vedas – the source. Or what a common Hindu does? Does that offend you in any way? Does he say, "I hate those who do not put milk on my Shivalinga?" He prefers to keep his beliefs private to those who respect it. Then why poke the harmless and cry foul when he retaliates?

Shiva Mahapuran contains lots of stories symbolizing Shiva – Shakti relationship. It also contains historical stories. Some are good. Some are difficult to understand. Some may be later adulterations. That is why Purans say: "Accept what makes sense as per Vedas. Ignore the rest." That is what Hindus do.

Hindus may need to understand Shiva-Shakti Upasana better and need to know Vedic source to strengthen their belief further. But that does not mean they are doing any crime today by not seeking your permission before celebrating Shivaratri.

Allegation 9

Wastage of milk over Shivalinga.

What about wastage of milk over Shivalinga?

Agniveer

The people who talk most about it are those who drink Pepsi, wear high-end fashion, enjoy night-life, and live an Aiyyash life. Even makers of movie "Oh My God" – preached tragedy of milk over Shivalinga. But they themselves are among most Aiyyash people of nation. If all pigerals start wearing clothes stitched by local tailor instead of Gucci and you would save more than what is wasted in milk over Shivalinga. Practice before you preach.

Vedas have a concept of Sahasradharaa (Atharva Veda 10.10). Thousands of streams of Amrut that sustains the Skambha. Cow is considered source of this Sahasradhara as per same Mantra. The milk is poured over Shivalinga as symbolic to that. And also a commitment that we will offer our best to this Shiva-Shakti union. It also comes from basic framework of Dev-Maanav relationship. Deva give us. And we return back. Shiva-Shakti give us blessings and stability. We give back our thankfulness through

whatever they gave us.

The whole Shiva-Upasana fills mind with Bhakti, activates dormant aspects of personality, super-charges us and ensures we are in right path.

Shiva is also depicted as Rudra. If you try to cheat Shiva, it will come back as wrath on you. Shiva gives us Sahasradhara of happiness in life. We offer it back. Cycle continues.

You waste your money, energy, resources in Aiyashi. Hindu utilize it in liberating ourselves from animalism and thanking Mahadev. And because we keep our minds pure in this way, we are able to generate more resources to feed the needy ones. There is no study to prove that offering milk on Shiva by Hindus causes more poverty than eating beef, or wasting petrol, or wearing Gucci, or thousands of other things people do for enjoyment and status symbol.

Hindu view is that wealth is infinite. They always think of using wealth for purpose that liberates or helps others. And in process they generate more wealth.

In summary, a typical Hindu accepts things at face value and tries to accept goodness from everywhere.

No Hindu has ever read Shiva Puran and other texts associated with Shiva Linga. He simply goes to Shiva temple to offer his pure feelings to Ishwar. Period! Thus, for all practical matters, *Hindus reject all false stories and all false books on Shiva Linga.*

Hindus believe that same truth can be approached by different scholars in different manners. The basic drive that propels Hindus to every form of worship is very honorable – *to seek goodness from all side.* They seek this goodness in whatever form of worship it comes. They do not care about stories behind it. They do not bother about Genesis and conspiracies behind these modes of worship, and simply seek goodness. Hence, while Hindus should be very careful, it is also true that these vulgare stories *do not form part of practical or theoretical Hinduism.*

Allegation 10

Brahma had relation with his daughter.

What about stories of Brahma having relation with his daughter and Shiva running after Mohini?

Agniveer

Again, the answer is same. These are NOT part of

Hinduism. **They appear in no Vedas**. In fact, there are no stories in Vedas of this nature. Moreover, unlike Islam and Christianity, Hindu Dharma has NO place for stories.

Hindu dharma is based only on concepts and ideas.

Stories keep changing from time to time; they may get changed, manipulated, distorted, forgotten, rewritten or whatever. Dharma is *Sanatan*, which means *Eternal*. It was same always in past and will remain so in future.

Allegation 11

Rama and Krishna form Hinduism.

So you mean Ram, Krishna, and Vishnu do not belong to Hinduism?

Agniveer

Legends link Ram, Krishna, Vishnu, Shankar, and Brahma are not Hindu Dharma.

They were instead followers of Vedic Dharma.

They were legends and role models. We admire them because they exhibited the greatest examples worth being followed. **They exemplified Vedas in**

action. Such role models are necessary to inspire and instill direction in masses. However, Dharma is eternal, as explained earlier. Billions of years from now, there may be another set of role models worth being followed. Before Krishna or Ram took birth, there would have been another set of role models, and no one knew about Ram or Krishna. However, Dharma was **still** existing. This eternal Vedic Dharma is Hinduism. Because Ram, Krishna, Vishnu followed this Vedic Dharma to perfection, they are an integral part of our culture, inspiration, thoughts and resolutions.

Allegation 12

You just simply refuse to accept obscenity in Hinduism.

Agniveer, you are tactful. Instead of analyzing each story of obscenity and explaining why it is not obscene, you are instead refusing to accept that these stories form the part of Hinduism.

Agniveer

Hinduism is not like Islam where a false Quran that contains stories of personal matters of Muhammad are also to be justified. Quran and Hadiths contain

stories on why Muhammad married Aisha when she was a kid, why he desired to marry his daughter-in-law and, in fact, had relation with her without marrying (claiming that marriage happened in heaven), why there are special rights for Prophet to marry more than normal Muslim.

Neither are these stories relevant till the end of the world to justify being part of what is touted as final book of Allah, nor they contain noble examples. However, instead of rejecting these verses as a falsehood, to safeguard the character of Muhammad, fanatic Muslims try to justify these vulgar verses and in process demean the noble character of Muhammad.

Similarly, Christians instead of agreeing that Bible contains fake stories would justify why Jesus alone is Savior of the world.

Hinduism has much stronger foundations that cannot be shaken by petty stories. Instead of showing us false stories that we already have rejected, show us what is wrong in Vedic Religion.

You cannot show anything wrong, and that is why we claim that Vedic Religion or Hinduism is the only worthwhile religion to be embraced.

It is a pity that despite all this, those justifying every letter of vulgar texts like false Quran and fake Bible are brazen enough to point fingers on eternal Sanatan Vedic Hindu Dharma.

Allegation 13

There is vulgarity in Vedas.

What about vulgarity in Vedas?

Agniveer

Show us a single vulgar verse in Vedas. Show us one single episode of obscenity in all the four Vedas. Now don't start citing translations of Griffith or Max Muller who were conversion 'viruses'. Explain with word meanings why any verse in Vedas is to be considered Vulgar. No one has dared to showcase a single verse so far. At best people have copy-pasted some crazy translation by some perverts without going further to explain how they came to such ridiculous meanings! For clarity of all,

There is absolutely no vulgarity or nothing unscientific or nothing irrational in all four Vedas.

Allegation 14

Hindu festivals are associated with vulgarity.

But Hindus follow festivals from Puranas associated with these vulgar stories. How can then to dissociate Hinduism from these vulgar stories?

Agniveer

Again, this is a childish argument. *Festivals are a social phenomenon inspired from Dharma and not Dharma themselves.* Festivals and their methods change in every two kilometers in India and, in fact, this diversity is encouraged so far it is as per Vedas. Hindus follow festivals as social events to showcase respect to great role models and resolve to do good. The form of festival observance has always varied from time to time, place to place and society to society. At times, they have even got distorted. However, this has nothing to do with Dharma, which remains eternal.

When Hindus celebrate festivals, they do not recite any of these vulgar stories. Hindus, on the contrary, observe much more self-control on these days to shower their respect/commitment.

Allegation 15

Vulgar stories are part of Hindu culture.

But then, why are these stories part of Hindu culture then?

Agniveer

Who said that these stories are part of Hindu culture? Now traditionally birth based Brahmins have been closest to religious texts. So if these stories were to be an integral part of our culture, how can anyone explain that:

- These Brahmins (I am referring to birth based Brahmins here and subsequently in this part of the chapter) have been the most monogamous community of not only India but the world.
- These Brahmins have observed celibacy and self-control to levels that are considered abnormal by Arabic mindsets.
- No Brahmin ever conducted any single Yajna in Kashi or Mathura that is vulgar, violent or obscene.
- No Brahmin has kept concubines or performed incest as claimed in these false stories.

Instead, these stories have either been rejected, or ignored, or interpreted allegorically within the purview of Vedic Dharma as described in first four points.

Allegation 16

What about sex-scandals of gurus?

Then why does news come of fraud gurus in sex-scandal?

Agniveer

Had all this nonsense been part of Hindu Dharma, all this news would not have been called scandals. The moment a guru is exposed in such deeds, he is subject to harshest condemnation by society as is the case with any criminal. If Hindu Dharma was or is obscene, then this news would not have hit headlines and would have been most rampant in society! For example, it does not make news when an Imam in Iran does Mutah or temporary marriage because Mutah is an integral part of the religion there.

Just as one cannot say that Islam justifies women participating in bikini show merely because one Arabic woman won Miss America, similarly deeds of a fake guru have nothing to do with Hindu Dharma. On the contrary, the fact that he is condemned for his misdeeds shows that Hindu Dharma has nothing to do with this perversion.

Allegation 17

Agniveer condemns Muslims.

But Muslims also do not follow all that you condemn. Why do you follow double standards then?

Agniveer

Aniveer has never condemned Muslims or Muhammad in general. Agniveer have only condemned the new Quran and Hadiths. Agniveer condemns those clergies or fanatics who claim that each letter of this new Quran and Hadiths is final and perfect. If these fanatics, instead state that all those verses in Quran or Hadiths which are found to be objectionable (Obscene, demeaning to Muhammad, justify sex-slavery, call women as half-intelligent, say that non-Muslims will go to Hell, justify marriage with a woman with whose daughter one had physical relation, do not outrightly ban slavery, etc.) are NOT part of Islam and worth being rejected, then there is absolutely no objection to Islam.

In fact, if all the hadiths and objectionable Quranic verses are rejected, it becomes a branch of Vedic dharma.

Allegation 18

Agniveer only justifies whatever Hindus do.

Agniveer, your theory is strange. You justify whatever Hindus do and yet reject all these stories that form the basis of what Hindus do.

Agniveer

Agniveer does not justify whatever Hindus do. Had there been no loopholes in practices of Hindus in general, how could barbaric foreign cults have dared to enter Bharat? Certainly, there were gaps between the actual Hindu Dharma of Vedas and what we started following out of ignorance.

However, these gaps point at the faults of the followers and not the Hindu Dharma itself. Agniveer agrees with Zakir Naik on one point – do not judge a car by its driver but its engine.

Similarly, judge Hinduism by Vedas and its core tenets and not its followers in general or fake stories invented later.

Yes, there are loopholes in practices of Hindus (in general) today considering the prevailing situations. Some of them are:

- Birth-based caste system in whatever broken form it is
- Neglect of Vedas and Vedic Dharma which is

our foundation

- Tendency to take everyone at face value and to trust them too easily
- Tendency to shirk away from fighting falsehood with iron hand
- Passive attitude towards rising menace like Love Jihad, conversion virus, and SICKULAR mindsets.
- Not spending much time in trying to discover the roots of our Dharma and its essence

We strive to get rid of above loopholes to safeguard our religion from virus attacks and approach of Vedic ideals.

However, regardless of these, one point is clear – there is absolutely no vulgarity in Hindu Dharm or even mindsets of Hindus when they observe Dharma in whatever way they do. Hindus may be misled, they may be ignorant, they may be gullible, they may not be overtly critical, they may be bit too straight, **but they are not obscene. They are not vulgar in matters of Dharma.**

There may be people who get into obscenities –

like film stars or their bhakts – but there is nothing Hindu about it.

The challenge from Agniveer to all those who want to showcase obscenity in Hinduism is to prove that Vedas are obscene. Alternatively, prove that the characteristics of Dharma listed in very first three points of the chapter are obscene.

Because Hinduism itself rejects anything that is vulgar regardless of its source or origin, trying to highlight isolated stories from obscure texts that no Hindu takes seriously will not work.

So these promoters of false propaganda should shut down their baseless websites and instead create a list of passages from their religious texts (that they consider to be full and final to the last letter) which should be rejected so as to claim their religion as "not obscene."

Allegation 19

Agniveer is obsessed with Vedas.

Vedas, Vedas, Vedas. Agniveer, you seem to be obsessed with Vedas. What about those cults who do not consider Vedas to be divine? What about Geeta

and Upanishads?

Agniveer

Please review the eleven-fold Dharma mentioned earlier. They are adopted by everyone including those cults who do not consider Vedas to be divine.

Apparently, even the cults that have **rejected** Vedas are, actually, **following** Vedas by rejecting malpractices in the name of Vedas, and not Vedas themselves.

Vedas themselves do not force, like Quran or Bible, to consider them as divine texts to achieve some fictitious paradise. All they say is that one should accept the truth and reject false and through this process truth will continue to become clearer. Agniveer considers Vedas to be divine because Agniveer has reasons and justifications for that. However, someone who does not believe so is also following Vedas, if he or she does so to best of his understanding and intentions and free from prejudices.

- Geeta and Upanishads are marvelous texts. Geeta is an elaboration of 40th Chapter of Yajurved.
- The eleven major Upanishads are a tonic for an

intellectual mind. So are six Darshans and most of the other scriptures.

- We admire them only to the extent they comply with Vedas. For example, Allopanishad is considered a fraud Upanishad designed by some conversion virus.

In any case, what is obscene in Geeta and Upanishads? Instead of wasting time in proving obscenity in Hinduism some way or the other, Hinduism haters should study second and third chapters of Geeta or 17 Mantras of Ishopanishad and they will attain true Paradise here.

Be Vedic. Because Vedas alone is Dharma.

Summary

Let me repeat the cornerstone of Hinduism:

Anything and everything that is against Vedas is not Dharma.

Hence, Hinduism stands for morality, purity and character alone. All patriotic Hindus should promote this Vedic foundation of Hinduism far and wide so that we can effectively combat the threats of conversion viruses. We cannot afford to have our Dharma

hacked by perverts of foreign crap of intolerance and deceit that was never part of our culture.

Nanyah panthah vidyate anayaya

There is no other way!

CHAPTER 2

SCIENTIFIC ERRORS IN HINDUISM

The core foundation of Hindu belief is that Vedas contain source of all knowledge – physical or metaphysical. However, in last 100 odd years, this belief has come under scrutiny due to the advances that modern science claims to make.

An entire group of Vedic 'experts' has stood up to prove that Vedas contain early man theories and are not compatible with modern discoveries. These include communist historians propelled by commentaries on Vedas by western Indologists like Max Muller, Griffith et al and a new breed of intellec-

tuals who initiate all research with assumption that 'old means defective'. However in modern era of religious marketing, another group has come up which would go to any length to discover scientific errors in Vedas. This is the group which would want 800 million Hindus to lose faith in Vedas and their religion and embrace what they believe is the final message of God. Yes I am referring to Islamic and Christian evangelists.

While both these groups of evangelists are propelled by vision of making everyone in world a follower of their respective Holy Books, the situation is even more desperate for Quran zealots. This is because a bulk of Islamic evangelists believes that Jesus will come again towards end of the world after which they would reach Paradise forever. And an important sign of Jesus' coming is conquest of India. I do not know the original source of this superstition, but this remains a primary motivator for most Islamic evangelists today.

Thus, every now and then, we would see references to 'Scientific Errors in Hinduism'. The typical pattern would be English translation of some mantra followed by a Veda Mantra reference.

Often the reference and English translation are both pointing to sources best known to authors of these works. But for layman, these create a lot of confusion and doubt over relevance of Vedas. While I would shy away from thrusting my personal faith on Vedas, I would like to provide some excerpts from Vedas that provide clues to deep scientific concepts hidden within them.

Unfortunately, due to thousand years of slavery, burning of our universities and libraries by barbarians and then demands for tackling issues of survival first, there remains a lot of work to be done to rediscover the Vedic sciences. However, sufficient clues exist to justify why this rediscovery would be worthwhile.

A new propaganda has become popular over last few months, which is being pursued aggressively on various internet websites, discussion groups, and social networking sites. Agniveer has received more than 50 references to this propaganda through emails, comments, and messages, either in support of it or with a request to counter it. Simply type "Scientific Errors in Hinduism" in Google and you shall get a huge number of results on this.

Initially, Agniveer thought this to be a childish blabbering not worth taking seriously. However, going by the alarming rate of its propagation, and considering the average mental aptitude of those trying to demean Vedas to establish a medieval era book as the only escape for all human beings from a burning Hell to an amorous Paradise, Agniveer finds it necessary to issue a rebuttal.

The original text comes in different variations but essentially provides a few Mantras from Vedas and some other tall claims to showcase Hinduism as unscientific.

In this chapter, we shall review each of the anti-Hindu claims of the document point by point and also provide some brief snippets of sufficient clues exist in Vedas.

A point of note: Vedas not being dogmatic in nature and containing eternal truths do not try to spoon-feed us. Thus, Vedas would contain seeds for all forms of knowledge and would urge humans to explore further. Because in the Vedic framework, it is our efforts that can provide us bliss.

Vedas say Earth is static!

Allegation 1

Indra has made this earth static.

According to Vedas, the earth is static, and this statement is repeated several times. The following are some of the examples: "Oh Man! He who made the trembling earth static is Indra."

Rig Veda 2/12/12

Agniveer

Actual translation of the Mantra:

"He who ensures that the sun with seven colour bands that shines, the clouds that rain, air that circulates, water bodies that nourish us – all work in harmony to provide us life and vitality have been managed by Indra or the Ishwar who provides prosperity."

Rig Veda 2/12/12

There is nothing about the static earth in this Mantra.

Allegation 2

God has made earth stable.

The God who made the earth stable.

Yajur Veda 32/6

Agniveer

Unfortunately, the "scientist" who wrote this could not distinguish between **stable and static**. Anything that moves or stays in equilibrium as per defined laws is stable and when there is an element of randomness or unpredictability, it becomes unstable. Stability DOES NOT mean lack of motion.

By the way, this is a great Mantra recited by Hindus daily, and it means:

"May we surrender all our actions and thoughts only to Him who has stabilised the sun and other illuminating bodies, the earth, the heavenly bodies and the entire universe solely for our happiness and who provides us ultimate bliss after Mukti or Salvation."

Yajur Veda 32/6

Very clearly the Mantra says that all heavenly bodies, including the sun, are stable. Thus, even by the wildest stretch of "Heavenly" imagination, one cannot bring such a ridiculous meaning to this Mantra that implies earth as being static.

Allegation 3

Indra protects "immovable" earth.

Indra protects the wide earth which is immovable and has many forms.

Atharva Veda 12/1/11

Agniveer

This Mantra is from the famous Bhumi Sukta, which forms the origin of the sense of love that patriots demonstrate for Dharti Mata. When one reads this Sukta today considering how our freedom fighters would die for this soil and often carrying this soil in hand till last breath, one cannot help getting his eyes wet with a sense of gratitude towards those great legends whose debts we have to repay through our deeds.

The particular Mantra means:

"O Earth, you provide me with great snow-capped mountains and hills, forests, farms, food, herbs and rains so that I am always happy, protected and nurtured. You provide me with the support I need to live like a king."

Atharva Veda 12/1/11

The following Mantra continues –

You are my mother, O Earth, and I am your son. You purify us and may we be able to repay your blessings through our noble actions.

What could be better thought on love for mother earth? However, perhaps patriotism and allegiance to the soil are alien to Anti-Hindu mindsets. That is why this is so unscientific for them!

Allegation 4

Walk on the Wide and Static earth.

Let us walk on the Wide and Static earth.

Atharva Veda 12/1/17

Agniveer

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"May we travel all around the earth that provides us prosperity, nutrition, medicines and supports us in a variety of ways."

Atharva Veda 12/1/17

The foolish Anti-Hindu just saw the word "Dhruva" and started imagining silly things. "Dhruva" means

something that provides reliable support.

On the contrary, there are several Mantras in Vedas that clearly discuss the movement of the earth. Some examples:

"This earth is devoid of hands and legs, yet it moves ahead. All the objects over the earth also move with it. It moves around the sun.

In this mantra,

Kshaa = Earth (refer Nigantu 1.1)

Ahastaa = without hands

Apadee = without legs

Vardhat = moves ahead

Shushnam Pari = Around the sun

Pradakshinit = revolves

Rig Veda 10/22/14

"The sun has tied Earth and other planets through attraction and moves them around itself as if a trainer moves newly trained horses around itself holding their reins."

In this mantra,

Savita = Sun

Yantraih = through reins

Prithiveem = Earth

Aramnaat = Ties

Dyaam Andahat = Other planets in sky as well

Atoorte = Unbreakable

Baddham = Holds

Ashwam Iv Adhukshat = Like horses

Rig Veda 10/149/1

"The sun moves in its own orbit in space taking along with itself the mortal bodies like earth through force of attraction."

Yajur Veda 33/43

"The sun moves in its own orbit but holding earth and other heavenly bodies in a manner that they do not collide with each other through force of attraction."

Rig Veda 1/35/9

"Sun moves in its orbit which itself is moving. Earth and

other bodies move around the sun due to the force of attraction, because the sun is heavier than them."

Rig Veda 1/164/13

Vedas say the sun moves around the earth!

Allegation 5

Horses take the sun to the sky.

"Sun is full of light and knows all the human beings, so his horses take him to the sky to look at the world."

Rig Veda 1/50/1

Agniveer

Again this is a daily prayer Mantra for Hindus. It means:

"The way rays of sun enable the world to see the sun; in the same manner, all noble people should propagate their goodness far and wide through good deeds and teachings."

Rig Veda 1/50/1

There is neither a horse in this Mantra nor Mantra talks about sun moving around any earth.

Allegation 6

Chariot takes the sun to the sky.

"O, Bright sun, a chariot named harit with seven horses takes you to the sky."

Rig Veda 1/50/8

Agniveer

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"The way seven rays of sun carry its illumination across the world like horses of a chariot; in the same manner, you should understand seven kinds of Chhanda of Vedas."

Rig Veda 1/50/8

One can try to interpret this Mantra to mean that the sun moves. However, then how would one explain rays as seven horses, because rays emanate from all around the sun and **not necessarily in the direction of sun's motion only.**

Further, Vedas, in any case, do not deny that the sun moves. In fact, Vedas clearly state that the sun also moves, and there is no static body in the universe.

There is no mention of motion of the sun around the earth in this or any other Mantras.

As per Rig Veda 1/35/9, the sun has a different motion in its own orbit.

Allegation 7

Sun takes a round of the earth.

"O man, the sun who is most attractive takes a round of the earth on his golden chariot through the sky and removes the darkness of the earth."

Yajur Veda 33/43

Agniveer

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"The sun moves in its own orbit in space taking along with itself the mortal bodies like earth through force of attraction."

Yajur Veda 33/43

Exactly this happens in reality. Even the most basic text on astronomy would cover this subject. Anti-Hindu texts have no notion of the concept of gravitational force causing Anti-Hindu scientists to raise a red-herring on this.

Allegation 8

Bull has supported the sky.

Vedas say The Bull has supported the Sky. "The Bull has supported the sky."

Yajur Veda 4/30

Agniveer

Classic case of "One burnt in rainy season seeing only green colour"! The Anti-Hindu scientist simply saw the word "Vrishabh" and created yet another imagination by assuming it to mean a bull.

"*Vrishabh*" actually means an entity that is powerful, possessing high potentials or simply the best. It later came to mean the 'bull' also because the 'bull' is a symbol of raw power. There is hardly any animal that can match the bull in power and speed. Even a lion does not dare attack a bull from the front.

The actual meaning of the Mantra is:

"O All-Powerful Ishwar, you permeate all across the universe, create and nurture the earth, stabilise the sun, manage the heavenly bodies and sky and ensure like a righteous king that everything functions in the correct manner. This is your inherent nature."

Yajur Veda 4/30

Allegation 9

Bull has supported the sky - 2.

"The Bull has supported the sky."

Yajur Veda 14/5

Agniveer

This Mantra does not have any word that can mean a bull. This Mantra is on duties of a wife.

It states:

"O wife! May you be pleasing to your husband and indulge in noble activities. May you be extremely intelligent and further your knowledge through study like the sun. May you provide bliss to everyone in the same manner as the brilliant sun provides food, light and purity to all. May you please everyone in the same manner as water satisfies all. May you promote knowledge all across."

Yajur Veda 14/5

Indeed, an amazing Mantra showing the Vedic respect for a woman. What can be a better example of women empowerment?

Miscellaneous allegations from Puranas

The rest of this silly article provides references from Puranas on how Hinduism is unscientific. However, Puranas are not at all considered evidence in the matter of Dharma. Only Vedas are considered divine, and we have adequately demonstrated how stupid the claims of Vedas being 'unscientific' are.

As for Puranas, they even contain descriptions of Muhammad Sahib, Akbar, and Victoria showing that they continued to be written until the 19th century, obviously under the might of the incumbent rule. So we shall simply not dwell into exploring the references given. We, however, doubt that even there, these anti-Hindu scientists would have made the same kind of blunders like they did while proving Muhammad Sahib in Bhavishya Puran as a demon worthy of being punished!

Two additional points remain to be covered apart from the references from Purana. Let us review them:

Allegation 10

No scientific truth in sun worship.

Vedas / Puranas recommend looking directly into the

sun with the naked eye. The Vedas and Puranas say that all Hindus must pray to the sun god SURYA and that if you look at the sun daily every morning with your naked eyes, your sight will improve. Accordingly, many Hindus practice this act of worship; but instead of their eyesight improving, India is the country that has the most blind people in the world (2.5 million blind people and 9 million with corneal blindness). There is no scientific truth in sun worship, and on the contrary, the scientist and doctors advise everyone not to look at the sun directly with the naked eye. Who is telling us the truth, the Scientists or the Hindu scriptures? You be the judge!

Agniveer

No references have been provided in support of this allegation. Hence, this is only a vague allegation.

Yes, it is a natural treatment of India for eyes by watching the sunrise. There has been no a single case in the world that has caused damage to eyesight because they watched a sunrise or sunset.

Damage to eyes happens when watching bright sun or eclipse. Hindus rightfully strongly recommend staying away from gazing the sun during those times.

The statistics on blindness in India is interesting. However, can the Anti-Hindu scientist also provide details on **how many of these blinds were Hindus and how many contracted blindness due to watching the sunrise?** Cataract is the prime cause of blindness in developing countries, and not a single research cited sunrise watching as the cause of blindness. Also, the prevalence of blindness is slightly more in Pakistan compared to India. What religion do they follow?

Allegation 11

Nothing is scientific about the holy cow.

Vedas say the cow is holy and should be worshipped. (Brahmins add that cow dung ash has medicinal value). The Vedas say that the cow is holy and should be worshipped. These Brahmins also claim that cow dung ash has medicinal value. Samples sent to a leading test laboratory in West Germany have proved this to be untrue.

Agniveer

Yes, Vedas indeed emphatically state that cow is most precious to sensible human beings and those who kill cows for a taste of tongues are indeed among

the dumbest. Because a living cow, even if sterile, produces more benefits for the environment than a dead cow. Almost all outputs from a cow – milk, dung, urine – have a beneficial impact on environment and health. A smart community can sustain its power through preservation of cows alone.

We request to cite the name of the lab which proved cow dung ash to be useless. Kindly do not provide vague references. By the way, West Germany ceases to exist since 1990! Agniveer recalls how Zakir Naik also gives reference to research by some illiterate 'farmer' in California to justify Halal Meat. This shows the **standards of the references** of Anti-Hindu scientists!

Cow dung has been proven to produce tremendous benefits including construction of bricks. There are at least four International Patents (US/ Europe) on cow urine that Agniveer is aware of. Simply search on Google with 'cow urine patent'.

Thus, rightfully Hindus regard cow to be as important as the mother.

Science is the foundation of Hindu Culture

Before concluding, let me assert a few points.

Science lies not only in scriptures of Hindus but even more so in the foundations of their culture. The most basic traditions of Hindus based on most scientific principles, despite being polluted by superstitions and foreign impacts.

- Namaste with folded hands is scientific
- Bangles and earrings for women are scientific
- Drinking lots of water in early morning as Usha-Paan is scientific
- Avoiding non-veg in pretext of some festival to extent possible is scientific
- Monogamy is scientific
- Considering all women except wife as equivalent to mother is scientific
- Encouraging children to ask questions is scientific
- Meditation is scientific
- Pranayam is scientific
- Desi Vyayam is scientific
- Not eating together from the same plate and

avoiding exchange of saliva is scientific

- Washing hands several times with soap and water after bowels is scientific
- Washing organs properly with water during the bath is scientific
- Avoid needing circumcision merely to maintain hygiene is scientific.

Thus, a typical educated Hindu can easily inculcate scientific spirit and rationalism and progress ahead.

Proof of the pudding is in the eating. There are around 50 countries in the world with a Muslim majority, but fanatic rulers dominate almost all. Showcase how many renowned scientists have they produced, how many researchers, how many engineers of world repute?

There has been *only one* Muslim scientist worth being considered the Nobel Prize winner, Dr. Abdus Salam of Pakistan. However, he was thrown out of the country and declared Kafir because he was a Qadiyani. Thus, no Zakir Naik would dare take his name even though he would shout a lot on how Islam is the foundation of all science!

When Dr. Salam died in 1996, on his grave was inscribed *"First Muslim Nobel Laureate"*. However, due to orders of government, the word *Muslim* was removed. It now reads *"First Nobel Laureate"!*

There are other renowned scientists like Dr. Pervez Hoodbhoy and late Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam, and indeed, we are proud of them. Moreover, they also are proud of our ancient culture and history. They are thus noble Vedas followers and our jewels. If their version of Islam be promoted instead of what neo-Wahabis are propagating, the world would be a heaven.

Great Islamic Pakistani scholar and writer Hasan Nisar, has repeatedly said that Muslim Ummat has not produced even a single intellectual from the centuries but still live in illusions of grandiose that never existed causing them to sink down and down.

Compare with Hindus. They are at top positions everywhere despite all odds. Right from the inception of civilisation, this culture has produced the most brilliant minds and continues producing even today. The reason obviously lies in the scientific foundations of the Hindu culture.

Thus, while a Pakistan is crumbling under its own weight after independence, India is surging ahead despite having a corrupt leadership and minority appeasement based mindset of its selfish leaders.

Before we conclude let's see review some sufficient clues from Vedas

Science of Gravitational Force in Vedas

"O Indra! by putting forth your mighty rays, which possess the qualities of gravitation and attraction-illumination and motion – keep up the entire universe in order through the Power of your attraction."

Rig Veda 8/12/28

"O God, You have created this Sun. You possess infinite power. You are upholding the sun and other spheres and render them steadfast by your power of attraction.

Rig Veda 1/6/5, Rig Veda 8/12/30

"The sun moves in its own orbit in space taking along with itself the mortal bodies like earth through force of attraction."

Yajur Veda 33/43

"The sun moves in its own orbit but holding earth and other heavenly bodies in a manner that they do not collide with each

other through force of attraction.

Rig Veda 1/35/9

"Sun moves in its orbit which itself is moving. Earth and other bodies move around the sun due to the force of attraction, because the sun is heavier than them.

Rig Veda 1/164/13

"The sun has held the earth and other planets."

Atharva Veda 4/11/1

Science of Light of Moon in Vedas

"The moving moon always receives a ray of light from sun."

Rig Veda 1/84/15

"Moon decided to marry. Day and Night attended its wedding. And sun gifted his daughter "Sun Ray" to Moon."

Rig Veda 10/85/9

Science of Eclipse in Vedas

"O Sun! When you are blocked by the one whom you gifted your own light (moon), then earth gets scared by sudden darkness."

Rig Veda 5/40/5

Science of Building Ships and Aeroplanes in Vedas

Swami Dayanand has detailed Mantras regarding these in his Vedic commentary and Introduction to Vedas" (1876). The scientists of IISc concluded that the mechanism of the aeroplane as suggested by Dayanand is feasible. The first manned plane was built 20 years after the death of Swami Dayanand.

The verses are difficult to translate in English here, but readers are advised to review "Introduction to Vedas" by Swami Dayanand or interpretations of following mantras: Rig Veda 1/116/3, 1/116/4, 10/62/1, 1/116/5, 1/116/6, 1/34/2, 1/34/7, 1/48/8, etc.

Science of Telegraphy in Vedas

"With the help of bipolar forces (Asvins), you should employ telegraphic apparatus made of a good conductor of electricity. It is necessary for efficient military operations but should be used with caution."

Rig Veda 1/119/10

Conclusion

I hope this would be sufficient to at least drive the need for further exploration of our scientific heritage originating from Vedas. Agniveer strongly recommends those who have been brainwashed to hate non-Muslims and especially Hindus for most silly reasons to introspect on this. Agniveer recommends they read our books on Vedas to get the right concepts of Vedas and stop this hatred in the name of religion that only makes them, even more, dumber!

Vedas clearly declare that either you can have hatred or intelligence, but not both!

May the truth prevail!

CHAPTER 3

HINDUISM DENIGRATES WOMAN

Agniveer recently came across yet another campaign to showcase how Hinduism has denigrated women. In Google, if we search for 'women in Hinduism' we would find several such articles on the internet. Nothing can be far from the truth because Vedas are the ONLY texts that provide a level of respect and freedom that even the modern feminist movements cannot imagine.

In this chapter, we will review some of the most widespread allegations on Hinduism with regards to its treatment of women and provide an **authentic Vedic perspective.** The allegations are collected

from a wide variety of sources. Because it has already become very widespread, it is hard to trace the source of this nuisance. However, readers can check up on Google to match with whatever versions of the original text is available on different websites.

Allegation 1

Vedas sanction Sati Pratha, Dasi Pratha, and Niyog Pratha.

Out-present attitude towards women streams from our religious scriptures that refer to women as contempt. Our oldest books are the 'Vedas' which contain highly objectionable and condemnable passages concerning women.

- 'Sati Pratha' (Custom of burning the widow with the body of her husband.)
- 'Dasi Pratha' (Keeping the slave girls.)
- 'Niyog Pratha' (An ancient Aryan custom of childless widow or women having sexual intercourse with a man other than a husband to beget a child.)

were among cruel customs responsible for the plight of the women.

Naturally, seeking shelter under such religious sanctions, unscrupulous men disgraced women to the maximum possible extent and made them means of satisfying their lust. No one wanted a daughter. As a result; female infant came to be considered unwanted. No one wanted a daughter. Everyone was interested in having a son. The birth of the son was celebrated, but the birth of the daughter plunged the family into the gloom. This attitude still persists, even though certain other customs have undergone changes. 'Rig Veda' itself says that women should beget sons. The newly married wife is blessed so that she could have ten sons.

Agniveer

Too many dialogues in the allegations, but here are the facts:

There is not even one single verse in Vedas that demeans women. On the contrary, there are a large number of verses that glorify women to a level that is impossible to understand even by modern feminist intellectuals.

- *Sati Pratha* has nothing to do with Vedas. Vedas, on the contrary, appeal widow to start life afresh

and not waste life merely remembering the past.

- *Dasi Pratha* was a gift of Christian, Muslim, and Jew cultures or their predecessors. There is nothing about this in Vedas. However, Testaments and Quran (modern versions) are replete with it.

- *Niyog Pratha* was a social custom to beget a child that was prevalent in all societies and more so in Biblical and Quranic society. Bible, Quran, and Hadiths are full of such references. However, Vedas have no mention of Niyog.

People have interpreted Vedic verses to create a social custom of Niyog to prevent prostitution in emergency situations. However, neither is it a Vedic order nor a compulsory practice in Aryan society.

On the contrary, many prophets of Christianity and Islam got children from slave-girls but never made them their wives! Prophet Abraham is supposed to have even attempted to abandon his slave-girl to death after having a child from her. Prophet Muhammad is supposed to have the only son from his slave Maria. God only knows the veracity of these stories and support for sex-slavery in Bible and Quran. However,

as far as Vedas are concerned, any relation apart from one single wife/husband is clearly considered to be the cause of miseries.

The foundation of Hinduism is the Vedas. So if one has to prove that Hinduism demeans women, he or she must show that Vedas demean women.

If Hindu politician talks about appeasing Jihadi terrorists, that doesn't mean Hinduism aka Vedas justifies Jihad!

The fact is, for last 1000 years a birth of a son was celebrated, and a birth of daughter plunged a family into the gloom. However, this was not because of a Vedic injunction.

On the contrary, Rig Veda 10/159/3, Rig Veda 8/31/8 and Rig Veda 9/67/10 pray for begetting daughters!

The reason birth of a daughter became a curse in last 1000 years was the butchers from West and Central Asia coming in the name of Islamic Jihad to kill men and rape women. The entire history of last 1000 years is full of resistance against these terrorists. Thus, people wanted sons who could fight these terrorists or at least not be raped by them the way

they used to rape women. Birth of daughter thus became a curse because safeguarding the dignity of a daughter was a challenging task. This is the reason purdah system came in India. This is why dowry system became prevalent – ensuring the safety of women became a risky thing.

Unfortunately, soon these evil practices became part of the culture. However, Vedas are complete against all this, and it is time we get back to Vedas.

The word 'Putra' in Vedas is generic and does not necessarily mean a son, but implies a child. For example, 'mankind' does not mean only men but all human beings. In most Mantras of Vedas though, word 'Praja' is used which again means children.

As previously mentioned, some Mantras desire a daughter specifically. For example:

- Rig Veda 10/159/3
- Rig Veda 8/31/8
- Rig Veda 9/67/10
- Yajur Veda 22/22
- Rig Veda 4/32/23

- Atharva Veda 10/3/20

Thus, all these claims of Vedas being male-centric are childish at best.

Allegation 2

Only son is desirable.

'Vedas' prescribe a special ritual called 'Punsawan Sankar' - a ceremony performed during the third month of pregnancy. During the ceremony it is prayed: "Almighty God, you have created this womb. Women may be born somewhere else, but sons should be born from this womb."

Atharva Veda 6/11/3

Agniveer

Punsawan Sanskar is recommended for aspirants of both sons and daughters. So there is no male bias here.

The meaning of the Mantra has been distorted to suit the agenda. The Mantra has a deep meaning. However, simply put it means that:

'By Grace of Ishwar, the woman can have a womb and nurture the child therein. May the woman have a son if she

desires and planned so ELSE she may have a girl."

Atharva Veda 6/11/3

Thus, the Mantra simply implies that if a couple has planned for a boy, may boy be born else girl be born. What translators have done is to translate 'Anyatra' as 'Elsewhere' instead of 'in other condition or else'.

The Vedic methods of procreation suggest ways of deciding either a boy or a girl. However, regardless of the case, Punsawan Sanskar is practised by all Veda followers. Hence, there is no gender bias here.

Allegation 3

Protect only son in the womb.

"O Husband, protect the son to be born. Do not make him women."

Atharva Veda 2/3/23

Agniveer

Again this is the case of wrong translation. Actual translation is:

"Protect the child in the womb from infections and diseases. Be it a girl or boy, may it not be troubled."

Atharva Veda 2/3/23

Here again, "Ma Pumansam Striyam Kran" is translated as "Do not make the boy in the womb into a girl". But how can gender change in the womb after fertilisation? The actual meaning is "Do not trouble male or female child."

Allegation 4

A sonless woman is unfortunate.

In 'Shatpath Puran (Shatpath Brahman)' a sonless woman has been termed as unfortunate.

Agniveer

This is a vague allegation. Kindly show the verse. In any case, Vedas are evidence in matters of Hinduism and not Shatpath.

Allegation 5

Woman has very little intelligent.

'Rig Veda' censures women by saying: "Lord Indra himself has said that women have very little intelligence. She cannot be taught."

Rig Veda 8/33/17

Agniveer

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"Do not try to rule over the thought process of a woman. She can think very fast and in multiple dimensions."

Rig Veda 8/33/17

The truth is women can think and connect at the emotional level that is impossible for men. That is why women can nurture children in ways men cannot even imagine!

What translators have done is:

- Distorted 'Ashasyam' to mean '**cannot be taught**,' while it means **'cannot be ruled.'**
- Then they translated 'Raghum' as 'little.' While it means 'agile' or 'able to move rapidly in a variety of locations' or 'dynamic.'

That is why Raghu of Raghukul to which Ram belonged was named so.

Allegation 6

Woman's Heart is more cruel than heyna.

"There cannot be any friendship with women. Her

heart is more cruel than heyna."

Rig Veda 10/95/15

Agniveer

There is a spelling mistake in ALL versions of this article. It is 'hyena' and not 'heyna'.

This Mantra from the celebrated 'Pururava-Urvashi Sukta' is completely out of context. All Hinduism-bashers including some home-grown pseudo-intellectuals cite this Sukta to showcase vulgarity in Vedas! Some have written cheap poems on this. In reality, this Sukta from 10th Mandal is among the most deeply-meaning Sukta of entire Vedas. In fact, entire 10th Mandal of Rig Veda contains very deep knowledge of the universe and its mechanisms of actions. They also convey other lessons subtly especially in the realm of politics and country management.

Thus, the particular Mantra can be interpreted variously. The particular Mantra is part of an allegorical conversation between Pururava and Urvashi.

"Pururava" has several meanings like:

- Cloud

- Air or
- A person

"Urvashi" has several meanings like:

- Electricity
- speech or
- A woman

Thus the Mantra, rather Sukta, provides deep insights. Let us try to understand the context by taking it as conversation. Interestingly, this Mantra is uttered by the woman!

From this context, the Sukta is a discussion between a man who is attached to the woman and is ignoring his duties to the country as a general. The woman advises him in this Mantra that:

"Do not run away from your duties due to indulgence in a woman. Do not share confidential details of the country with anyone. They may be wolves in garb who want to destroy you and your country. A woman befriended for sensual purposes is a wolf in disguise. Hence, stay away from sensual vices to protect the country."

Thus, the Mantra advises sane persons to stay away from womanising. Based on this, Bharateeya Rajaneeti also recommends the king not to share confidential matters of the nation even with his wife.

Agniveer believes that if all citizens of the country start following this advice and stay away from womanising, and consider women only as beings deserving highest respect (and not affection out of lust), our country would be much different.

The world knows of incidents where womanising has led to the downfall of families and nations. Those studying history would know of cases where seductresses have inflicted heaviest damage to countries by fooling the leaders. Thus, Vedas recommend leaders must be even more disciplined Brahmacharis than ordinary citizens.

The deep meanings of Mantra also unravel that all those women indulged in luring people by glamour are wolves in disguise.

So far the country adores the glamour women and ignoring Rani Laxmis and Ahilya Bais and Rani Karnavatis, we simply cannot prosper. Glam girls are wolves in disguise.

Moreover, when political leadership starts getting

close to them, nothing can be a better recipe for national disaster. This Mantra thus asserts that considering women as objects for sensual pleasure is not advisable.

A similar allegation is put on Adi Shankaracharya quoting 'Naari Narakasya Dwaram' or 'Woman is the gateway to Hell' from one of his texts. The truth is that the great Shankaracharya referred ONLY to sensual attachment to women and NOT women in general. On women, in general, he writes in Matru Stuti –

"I humbly bow to that motherly woman who faces so much of labour pain. Forget about other sacrifices that the mother undertakes; we cannot repay even for the pain she has to bear when she carries us in her womb."

Great Shankaracharya

This is the right Vedic approach towards women.

Allegation 7

No property share for a woman.

"Women code says that the women are without energy. They should not get a share in the property. Even to the wicked, they speak in a feeble manner."

-Taitriya Sanhita

Yajur Veda 6/5/8/2

Agniveer

The reference is not clear. In any case, Taitriya Samhita is not original Yajur Veda. Kindly provide a reference from actual Yajur Veda (Madhyandina Shukla Yajur Veda Samhita to be specific, which is the original Veda Samhita).

Allegation 8

Daughter causes pain.

Shatpath Puran, the preaching of the 'Yajur Veda' clubs women, 'Shudras' (untouchables), dogs, crows together and says a falsehood, sin and gloom remain integrated into them. [14/1/1/31]

In 'Aiterey Puran', the preaching of the 'Rig Veda' in Harsih Chandra – Narad dialogue, Narad says: "The daughter causes pain."

Agniveer

There is no text called Shatpath Puran and Aiterey Puran. They are Shatpath and Aitereya Brahmans. In any case, again these references are not from Vedas.

Further, we request the author of these allegations to provide the exact verse.

Allegation 9

Despicable Allegations

To insult and humiliate women further, the Hindu scriptures depict women of having ********** with animals or expressing a desire for ********** with them. What greater insult can be heaped upon women than this!

Such references are found at a number of places in Yajur Veda, where the principal wife of the host is depicted as having ********** with a horse. For example, consider the following hymn:

"All wife of the host reciting three Mantras go round the horse. While praying, they say: 'O horse, you are, protector of the community on the basis of good qualities, you are, protector or treasure of happiness. O horse, you become my husband.'" [Yajur Veda 23/19.]

After the animal is purified by the priest, the principal wife sleeps near the horse and says: "O Horse, I extract the ***** worth conception and you

release the ***** worth conception"' [Yajur Veda 23/20]

The horse and principal wife spread two legs each. Then the Ardhvaryu (priest) orders to cover the oblation place, raise canopy, etc. After this, the principal wife of the host pulls ***** of the horse and puts it in her ****** and says: "This horse may release ***** in me." [Yajur Veda 23/20]

Then the host, while praying to the horse, says: "O horse, please throw ***** on the upper part of the **** of my wife. Expand your ***** and insert it in the ****** because after insertion, this ***** makes women happy and lively" [23/21]

Agniveer

Again, it seems that the porn lovers have lifted the translations from western Indologists or translators who existed during the era of Muslim Sultanate in India because such stupid translations are found nowhere else!

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"O master of all people! We submit ourselves to be guided by you alone. O the most loved one among all loved ones, we

submit ourselves to be guided by you alone. O provider of knowledge and prosperity, we submit ourselves to be guided by you alone. May I be able to understand, with your blessings, the Nature that has produced my own body and world, and is controlled by you alone."

Yajur Veda 23/19

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"O ruler and the subject! You should expand bliss for everyone by putting efforts for benevolent actions, developing resources, achieving desires of soul and hence obtaining salvation. May the subject support and strengthen the ruler so that he can control the criminals."

Yajur Veda 23/20

The Mantra can be interpreted for Ishwar. Ishwar is the ruler, and we are the subject. We should cooperate with Ishwar in his mission to bring bliss to all of us. Only in this manner is the purpose of creation justified.

Actual meaning of the Mantra:

"O powerful ruler, one who earns by exploiting women should be hung upside down and severely punished."

Yajur Veda 23/21

The message of Vedas is clear for all indulged in dirty businesses that exploit women. And if the powerful ruler does not punish the culprit, then Ishwar, for sure, would hang them upside down through Law of Karma.

Allegation 10

In Vedic age, polygamy was prevalent.

In the Vedic age, the customs of polygamy were prevalent. Each wife spent most of the time devising ways and means to become favourite to her husband.

Rig Veda 14/45 and Atharva Veda 3/81

Agniveer

Rig Veda has ten Mandals. So Rig Veda 14/45 does not exist. Agniveer requests, at least, an authentic reference even though the translation may be fake!

Again Atharva Veda Kand 3 has only 37 Suktas. I do not know which Atharva Veda has these references.

Allegation 11

Lord Indra had many queens.

The Aryans in those days used to attack the original inhabitants of this place or other tribes within their own race, loot them and snatch away their women. Thus, these militant, wicked men had more wives. This custom of polygamy helped a great deal in bringing down the women.

Rig Veda 10/59 says that Lord Indra had many queens that were either defeated or killed by his principal wife.

Agniveer

Is there any evidence, manuscripts or any vague reference to a hypothesis of either Aryan invasion theory or Aryans being a race or even any tribe claiming to be Arya killing or plundering anyone? Please cite a single reference to this most stupid hoax of Aryan Invasion.

Rig Veda 10/59 has ten Mantras. They talk about rebirth and law of karma. None has any reference to any wife of any Indra committing any murders. Agniveer requests the 'allegators' to provide exact reference.

Allegation 12

Harish Chandra had 100 wives.

In 'Aitrey Puran', preachings of 'Rig Veda', (33/1), Harish Chandra is referred to have had 100 wives.

Agniveer

Again, please provide an exact reference from this non-Vedic text. **(Hinduism considers only Vedas as benchmark for Hinduism).**

Allegation 13

Four wives do service in horse sacrifice ceremony.

'Yajur Veda' in the context of 'Ashva Medha' (Horse Sacrificing ceremony) says that many wives of Harish Chandra participated in the 'Yagyna' (religious sacrifice).

In 'Shatpath Puran (Shatpath Brahmin)', preaching [13/4/1/9] of the Veda, it is written that four wives do service in 'Ashva Megha'. In another place, Puran [Tatiraity Brahamin, 3/8/4] says wives are like property.

Agniveer

Again, this is a very vague allegation from non-Vedic sources. Kindly cite specific Mantras. Ashwa Medha is the process of strengthening the nation and not

any material Yajna or has anything to do with Horse. Please read our book: "A Hindu's fight for mother cow" to understand the real meaning of Ashwa Medha Yagna.

Allegation 14

Many men used to have a joint wife.

Not only one man had many wives (married and slave girls), but there were cases of many men having a joint wife. It is confirmed from the following hymn in 'Atharva Veda':

"O men, sow a seed in this fertile women."

Atharva Veda 14/1

Agniveer

This time, we have something from Vedas, but the reference is wrong. Atharva Veda 14/1 has 64 Mantras. None has anything remotely close to this nonsense.

By the way, all versions of the article carry the same mistake of having 'women' and not 'woman' showing that someone wrote it out of hatred knowing well that he is lying and others blindly copied in similar hatred. No one bothered to actually verify before publishing.

The actual Mantra that can be misinterpreted in this manner is Atharva Veda 14/2/14 which belongs to the Sukta that details duties of husband and wife. All Mantras refer to a single couple only.

This particular Mantra means:

"O Men! Each of you first brings strength and vitality in yourselves and then each of you procreates noble children from your respective wife."

Since the Mantra addresses men in general but wants to emphasise that only one woman is permitted as per Vedas for each man, a woman is addressed in the singular.

For more skeptic ones, also, refer to all the Mantras before and after this particular Mantra. The meaning becomes extremely clear. They all refer to one single couple and not one husband-multiple wives or one wife-multiple husbands anywhere.

Allegation 15

Women are joint movable property.

Both these customs clearly show that a woman was treated like a moving property. The only difference between the two customs was that whereas according

to former one man had a number of movable properties, in the latter, women a joint movable property.

Agniveer

Vedas recommend only monogamy for both husband and wife. Agniveer believes that in light of above analysis, those who have spread otherwise would be humble enough to come forth and apologise for their baseless claims. Unless they want to portray that they stand only for fraud, deceit and hatred.

It is another matter that apart from Vedas, no text – Bible or Quran (whatever version is popular today) ever considered women as anything apart from a property.

Allegation 16

Vedas sanction Sati Pratha.

Widow was burnt at the funeral Pyre of her husband. The widow was burnt at the funeral pyre of her husband so that she may remain his slave, birth after birth and may never be released from the bonds of slavery.

The Atharva Veda says: "O dead man following the religion and wishing to go to the husband's world,

his women comes to you. In the other world also may you give her children and wealth in the same manner."

In the 'Vedas', the widow is treated inhumanly. For example, it is mentioned that on the death of her husband, the wife was handed over to some other man, or to her husband younger brother.

Agniveer

Again this is a blind allegation without any reference whatsoever.

Agniveer's challenge is open to all – Cite one single verse in all four Vedas that justify Sati Pratha, or support polygamy, or insult women, or justify sex-slavery and Agniveer shall quit Vedic Dharma.

Let us add one more allegation referring to Rig Veda 10/18/7 which Hindu-haters translate to mean "widow should go into the fire." However what the shameless haters have done is to change the word "Agre" in the Mantra (which means going ahead) to "Agne" which means fire.

The Mantra, by the way, has nothing about Widow. It means that:

"In matters of household management, the wife should be

the leader."

Rig Veda 10/18/7

Allegation 17

Anyone could become woman's master.

Swami Vivekananda opines that even at that time women used to have sexual intercourse with a person other than her husband to beget a child.

The hymn says: "O woman, get up and adopt the worldly life again. It is futile to lie with this dead man. Get up and become the wife of the man who is holding your hand and who loves you."

Rig Veda 10/18/8

Apparently, this shows that woman is considered to be a property. Whenever and whosoever desired, could become her master.

Agniveer

The opinion of Vivekananda or practice of 'that' time is none of our concern. Many Hindus go to worship graves or even support Jihadi terrorists of Kashmir. That does not make this a practice sanctioned by Vedas or Hinduism.

The meaning of this Mantra provided has been slightly distorted and misinterpreted to suit one's mindset of hatred.

Actual meaning of Mantra is:

"O woman, get up from here and come back to the world of living ones. Do not waste your life in the grief of one who is dead. You have the children of the person who had held your hand and became your husband, to take care of."

Rig Veda 10/18/8

The following Mantra (where this Mantra comes in Atharva Veda 18th Chapter) refers to a childless widow. It says:

"I have seen a young widow rising up from the grief of dead to marry again and start her life again away from gloom and darkness."

Thus, the Mantras give the noble lesson that a widow should not continue repenting on dead one but start life afresh and continue with her responsibilities. These Mantras form the foundation in which widow-remarriage is encouraged, and Sati Pratha is discouraged. Moreover, fools quote these very Mantras to showcase the entirely opposite stand!

Allegation 18

Widow's head must be shaved.

If the woman was not remarried, then her head was shaved. This is evident from Atharva Veda 14/2/60.

This custom was obviously meant to disgrace her. For what connection does shaving of widow's head has with the death of her husband? The condition of widows was miserable. She was considered to be a harbinger of inauspiciousness and was not allowed to participate in ceremonies like marriage. This custom is still prevalent in some places. She has to spend her life alone.

Agniveer

Einstein said, "Two things are infinite – universe and stupidity, and I am not sure about the previous." Reading all this, I am beginning to believe in this statement. **Just see, the allegation started with Vedas justifying Sati Pratha or death of wife after the husband was dead, and now they take it to forced remarriage! This is called reverse engineering.** No matter what it takes, the fools have already decided the conclusion and are working backwards.

Let us analyze this Mantra. (This is among very few Mantras whose proper reference has been provided.) This 14th Chapter relates to duties of marriage. This refers to marriage and not re-marriage in the first place.

It means:

"May your daughter never weep with open hair in the grief of parting away with loved ones because she is getting married in a distant place. To ensure this, console and support her in matured manner."

Atharva Veda 14/2/60

This is a common scene at least in Hindu marriages where the daughter is aggrieved on leaving her home, and her parents console her. The "alligator" just saw the word 'Vikesha' and forced this stupid meaning from infinity! There is no reference to any widow or death whatsoever in any Mantra in the entire Sukta!

However, Agniveer agrees that condition of widows has been miserable for several centuries. This has been primary because the first targets of all butchers who invaded from West Asia in the name of Islam were to rape women – be it the army of Qasim, Ghazni, Ghori, Khilji, Lodhi, Babur, Akbar

or whosoever. Thus, widows would have no one to fight even and lay their life for their dignity. Thus, widowhood started being regarded as a curse. Soon it became an evil practice. Thanks to efforts of 19th-century reformers especially Arya Samaj, this is no more the case with Hindu society that knows Vedic Dharma.

However, the plight of women in Pakistan is extremely horrible. With no rights to divorce and the shameful Hudood ordinance, it has been a nightmare being a woman in a Muslim dominated country.

Christian women are better off because none of them takes Bible seriously and Churches go empty. They rather seek to align with Vedic Dharma to an extent possible, though unknowingly. However, the world knows how spiritual fathers exploit nuns.

Allegation 19

Salve girls were given in charity as a gift.

In Rig Veda, there are references to slave girls being given in charity as gifts. After killing the men-folk of other tribes, particularly of the native inhabitants, their women were rounded up and used as slave girls. It was custom to present slave girls to one other as

gifts.

The kings used to present chariots full of slave girls to their kith and kin and priests - Rig Veda 6/27/8.

King Trasdasyu had given 50 slave girls. It was custom to present slave girls to Saubhri Kandav - Rig Veda 8/38, 5/47/6.

Agniveer

Not again! The Mantra in 6/27/8 has a word 'Vadhu' which has been misquoted blatantly and foolishly. The Sukta refers to national security.

The Mantra actually means:

"May the wise king appoint a council of 20 able and dynamic persons to create strategies to defend the nation from enemies."

Rig Veda 6/27/8

The word "Vadhumatah" means one who is capable and dexterous in handling multiple situations smartly.

The reference to King Trasadasyu has been wrongly given again showcasing that no one even bothered to check the references. But whatever be the case, "Tradasyu" means "one who defeats terrorists and

criminals" and hence ensures peace and progress. "Saubhari" means "a noble person who takes care of students well."

The actual Mantra in Rig Veda 8/19/36 means:

"The king who is very philanthropic and protector of noble ones, who has defeated the terrorists has provided me 50 Vadhus."

Rig Veda 8/19/36

Now the question is that what does "Vadhu" mean?

"Vadhu" means anything that brings strength, vitality, protection or bliss. Since Vedic philosophy believes that women are the source of all happiness that come in society, "Vadhu" refers to a new bride who enters the home of in-laws. Thus, it can refer to a daughter-in-law or wife or a bride in general.

"Vadhu" can also mean an armed force that protects the nation.

Thus, in no way, the Mantra refers to slave girls. If we take the literal meaning of bride, then it means that King gave 50 already-wed women to a teacher. Obviously, it then means that he arranged for the marriage of his graduating students. But a

more sensible meaning would be that the king gave a battalion of 50 to a general to protect the country. But no way, can it refer to a slave girl.

Rig Veda 5/47/6 mentions that:

"Those educated women who happily choose their husbands and then give birth to noble children, plan and train their life and those of children in the same manner as one weaves a cloth from threads slowly and steadily."

Rig Veda 5/47/6

Again, the mere presence of root Vadhu made them create all these imaginations.

Allegation 20

Intercourse without marriage.

A slave girl was called 'Vadhu' (wife), with whom sexual intercourse could be performed without any kind of marriage ceremony. These girls belonged to the men who snatched them from the enemies, or who had received them in dowry, or as gifts. Only the men to whom they belonged could have sexual intercourse with them. But some slave girls were kept as joint property of the tribe or the village. Any man could have sexual relations with them. These girls

became the prostitutes. The 'Vedas' also talk about 'Niyog', the custom of childless, widow or woman having sexual intercourse with a person other than her husband to beget a child.

In simple words 'Niyog' means sending a married woman or a widow to a particular man for sexual intercourse so that she gets a son. An indication of this custom is available in 'Rig Veda' In 'Aadiparva' of 'Mahabharata' (chap. 95 and 103). It is mentioned that Satywati had appointed her son to bestow sons to the Queens of Vichitrvirya, the younger brother of Bhishma, as a result of which Dhratrashtra and Pandu were born.

Pandu himself has asked his wife, Kunti, to have sexual intercourse with a Brahmin to get a son [Aadi Parva, chapters 120.23]

Agniveer

Again this is a vague allegation. I have provided the meaning of Vadhu. Kindly state which dictionary gives so. Even the most modern Amarkosh 3.102 simply states **"Vadhurjaya Snusha Stree Cha"** or "Vadhu means Wife, Daughter-in-law or Woman."

No reference whatsoever has been provided for

this fancy story on prostitution, tribe, property, etc. Perhaps this emanates from Semitic influences where women are merely for satisfaction for lust and not Vedas, which state that woman is a source of all goodness in society. Vedas ask us to pray to obtain the Teja of noble ladies!

Niyog has been discussed earlier. No need for repetition except that Vedas do not get into these details. They simply recommend a monogamous relationship between one man and one woman for a purposeful non-lustful life. Of course, they do recommend that one should start a goal-oriented life after the death of husband and not get into either depression or prostitution.

As for Mahabharat, well that is a historical text full of interpolations and written in an era when the Vedic values were already dwindling. However, evidence in a matter of Dharma can come only from Vedas. So kindly cite Vedic references.

In any case, even if Niyog existed, that was for men and women alike. Further, that was optional and not compulsory. Thus, how is this relevant to a discussion on Women Issues?

As for intercourse without marriage, Vedas strictly recommend against it. They recommend a relationship between man and woman that is based on commitments and for a purpose. Both hidden and purposeless relationships between man and woman are rejected. Further, both man and woman have same rights.

However, modern Quran makes a blatant mockery of Women Rights.

Moreover, for modern western society with all its emphasis on feminism, pre-marital sex is more of a law than an exception. So what benchmarks do we have to put the allegations on Vedas in the first place, forget about they carrying weight or not?

Allegation 21

Chastity of women was not safe.

In the name of 'beejdan' (seed donation), they used to have sexual intercourse with issueless women. This was a cruel religious custom, and the chastity of the women was not safe. The so-called caretakers of the religion were allowed to have sexual intercourse with other man's wife. From 'Niyog pratha' it can be inferred without fear of contradiction that women

were looked upon as mere child producing machines.

In The Position of women in Hindu Civilization, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar writes: "Though the woman is not married to a man, she was considered to be a property of the entire family. But she was not getting a share out of the property of her husband; only son could be the successor to the property."

Gajdhar Prasad Baudh says [Arya Niti Ka Bhadaphor, 5th ed., p. 14]: "No woman of the Vedic age can be treated as pure. Vedic man could not keep even the relations brother-sister and father-daughter sacred from the oven of rape and debauchery/ adultery named 'Niyog'. Under the influence of intoxication of wine, they used to recognise neither their sister nor their daughter and also did not keep the relations with them in mind. It is evident from their debauchery and adultery what a miserable plight of women was a society in then."

Agniveer

Once more vague allegations and no reference! Cite authentic references from Vedas to claim anything for Hinduism and not some historical allegations.

Ambedkar is not an authority on Hinduism. He

admits in his writings that he has no knowledge of Sanskrit and has referred only to western authors in translations of Vedas.

Who is this Gajdhar Prasad Baudh? Another Prophet or reincarnation of some old prophet that his words to be taken so seriously?

As for incest (brother-sister or father-daughter relationship), Vedas recommend the harshest punishment that sets an example for society. Further, wine drinking is considered a foolish act. In fact, anything that dumbs mind – wine, lust or anger – is considered foolishness in Vedic custom. However, maybe this new Prophet has come with some new revelations! We request your new Prophet to cite specific references instead of vague allegations. (I know this statement is getting repetitious, but we have no other option.)

Allegation 22

Daughter was impregnated by the father.

In the 'Vedas' there are instances where the daughter was impregnated by her father and the sister by her brother. The following example of sexual intercourse is found between father and daughter in the 'Rig

Veda':

"When father had sexual intercourse with his daughter, then with the help of earth he released his semen, and at that time the Righteous Devas (deities) formed this 'Vartrashak (Rudra) Devta' (Pledge keeper deity named Rudra)" [Atharva Veda 20/96/15].

Agniveer

Please re-read the allegation. The first sentence mentions that reference is in Rig Veda, and the actual reference is provided from Atharva Veda! This proves the intent and intellect of those who have created and are propagating the allegation. Let us first provide the meaning of Atharva Veda 20/96/15.

Mantra says:

"We shall destroy the person who molests/rapes a woman or kills her children posing as her brother or protector."

Atharva Veda 20/96/15

This Mantra justifies why the punishment for rape is a death sentence as per Vedic Dharma.

Now, let us provide meaning to actual Mantra being alleged to have intercourse between father and

daughter. This Mantra is Rig Veda 1/164/33. The Mantra explains the process of food/herb generation through rainfall.

Mantra says:

"Sunlight is like my father because he provides me nourishment and the earth is like my mother because she supports and feeds me. Sun and Earth face each other as if two armies stand against each other. Clouds impregnate the earth with rainfall so that food and herbs are produced that provide us nourishment."

Rig Veda 1/164/33

Thus, this Mantra describes a natural phenomenon through allegory, and nowhere it states that incest is recommended.

Please also note that 'Duhita' means one obtained from churning. Thus, the Mantra also implies that earth is formed from a part of the sun that separated out in a process similar to churning. Astrophysicists can corroborate if this process of creation of earth from the sun is indeed correct or not.

Conclusion – Glory of Woman in Hinduism

To conclude, let us provide some additional verses on

the glory of women from Vedas:

"May you be empress and lead all."

Rig Veda 10/85/46

"O brilliant woman, remove ignorance with your bright intellect and provide bliss to all."

Rig Veda 4/14/3

"O woman, may you be strong and powerful as a rock. May you gain brilliance of the sun and have a long prosperous life that benefits all."

Atharva Veda 14/1/47

"O woman, realise your potential. You are a lioness who can destroy criminals, ignorance, and vices and protect the noble ones."

Yajur Veda 5/10

"O woman, you provide bliss and stability to the world. You are the source of valour."

Yajur Veda 10/26

"O woman, you are as strong as earth and are on a very high pedestal. Protect the world from the path of vices and violence."

Yajur Veda 13/18

"O woman, you do not deserve to be defeated by challenges. You can defeat the mightiest challenge. Defeat the enemies and their armies. You have valour of thousands. Please us all."

Yajur Veda 13/26

"May the scholarly woman purify our lives with her knowledge, noble actions and guidance."

Yajur Veda 20/84

"Noble woman motivates us to be on the path of truth, love, and harmony."

Yajur Veda 20/85

"O woman, you are the motherly force that provides direction to our life."

Rig Veda 2/41/16

"The way a powerful river breaks down even strongest rocks and hills, in the same manner, an intelligent woman destroys the fraud propagated by perverted ones. May we bow to such intelligent women."

Rig Veda 6/61/2

Whenever I am hurt emotionally or physically, woman – as

a mother, wife, sister – provides healing touch and rejuvenates me. I bow in humble respect to her.

Atharva Veda 7/57/1

May all of us realise the glory of women, as elaborated in Vedas, and establish them on the highest pedestal!

CHAPTER 4

SEXUALITY IN HINDU SCRIPTURES

Thrashing Hinduism is no more a tool for appeasement in India. It has now ascended to the level of being a prerequisite to becoming an 'intellectual' and the part of 'civil society'.

Being "Intellectual" means

Being 'intellectual' means to swim upstream. To become intellectual, all you have to do is, to go against the popular narrative, to take the position that is against the faith of common masses, and to attack sentiments of people in the name of freedom of expression and openness.

Moreover, this freedom is used very selectively by some media stalwarts. While questioning Hindu faith, the existence of Ram, mockery of Hanuman- 'the monkey god,' criticism of Ram for Sita's Agnipariksha, questions on Krishna for having affairs with gopis and thousands of wives, make you an 'intellectual' and a champion of freedom of expression. However, questioning miracles, prophets and their personal lives of Abrahamic religions (minorities in India) is highly communal and makes you fascist.

Daily Bhaskar – Source of allegations

Agniveer came across a piece of work titled as- Ancient sex cultures in Mahabharat, Rig Vedas and religious scriptures published in Daily Bhaskar, an Indian newspaper.

(Note: Readers can Google search above title for article published on Daily Bhaskar. I have copied the content of each slide of the article in "italic" and provided its refutation.)

The author seems too intellectual to give his name anywhere in the article. The post is full of innovations on sexual relations and can put even pornographic content to shame. For example, the author 'proves'

from scriptures that, in ancient times, even mother and son used to do sex. People used to enjoy animal sex. Anyone could do sex with anyone anywhere, even in open when thousands of others were watching.

Perverts were, are and will be there in society in all eras. I do not bother if one of them stands up one day and publishes stories of his experiences and fantasies in newspapers. However, what bothers me is the attempt by a pervert to justify his shameful acts by dragging in religion and holy texts of Hinduism.

This article, on Daily Bhaskar, seems to be a way to promote pornography and uses denigration of noble Hindu culture as a ploy to increase hits, confuse devout Hindus, create hatred for Hindus in the minds of non-Hindus and, in the process, further their selfish goals. After all, what could be more welcoming to a media house than something that brings **controversial popularity?**

Sex being considered the strongest drive among materially inclined humans, and religion being the strongest influence on the typical majority of Indians, there can be no better formula for publicity than linking the two.

Author's allegations and Agniveer's response

Allegation 1

No social rules for sex in history.

There was a stage in our history when there were no social rules formed for sex between men and women. That was the time when no one would care about the relationship they share with other human beings before having sex with them. This is because there were no such relationships back then.

Having sex with any woman, having open sex anywhere anytime like animals, having sex with young girls and even sex with animals was a common thing with humans. Sex was wayward that time.

With the development of civilisation, relationships, social practices, and ethical standards developed. We can understand it in historical-sociological context.

Agniveer

No one knows how the author jumped to these conclusions. These are just claims made by the author. The author does not even state which era of history he is talking about. In next slides, he is inclined to give sexual colours to anything "Hindu" irrespective

of how far or near to present it is. As we will also see, these claims are based on most silly and unverified 'facts' known best to the author.

There have always been criminals and perverts and even sex-maniacs like the current author in all eras of civilisation. If someone reads author's post in Daily Bhaskar and looks at the right-hand side, there are links to pictures/news (screenshot attached) of semi-nude prostitutes. So according to the author, Daily Bhaskar is all about prostitutes and their whereabouts!

It is like if someone reads about the ghastly Delhi Gangrape case and concludes that "Once upon a time, raping women and killing them was a common thing with humans. Rape was wayward that time. Rape was an integral part of our society," then what would we call that person? Either too dumb or too perverted! If there is a third option, let me know.

Allegation 2

Open sex in Adiparva in Mahabharata.

In chapter 63 of Adiparva in Mahabharat, open sex between Rishi Parashar and Satyavati Matsyangandha has been described. Also, in the 104th chapter

of Adiparva, it is mentioned that son of Utthat, Dirghtama started having sex with a woman in front of all the people.

Agniveer

Firstly, the context of Rishi Parashar issue has been misquoted. Author has lied blatantly **because the verses clearly state that there was complete isolation; there was none around.** On top of it, whether one endorses it or not is left to individual's analysis. Further, the conditions and situations of the story are unknown. Even more, whether the verses are fully authentic is also a question mark. Because the same Mahabharat says in Adi Parva, Chapter 1, verses 81, 101 and 102 that the original Mahabharat consisted of only 8800 verses that were expanded to the one with 24000 verses first, and later it became the book with more than 1,00,000 verses. It is common with all history books.

Don't know what made author bring stories of an almost unknown person and then prove that Mahabharat sanctions adultery, that too, in open. He could not give any Shloka in his support.

Now see this! Here is the same Dirghtama, son of Utthat in the same chapter 104 in Adi Parva saying-

It is not lawful for a woman to have a connection with a man other than her husband. And she who may have such connection shall certainly be regarded as fallen…

Adi Parva - Chapter 104

Here, **Dirghtama unequivocally states adultery as sin.** Now even if he practiced it in the verses best known to the author, **it is his moral weakness and not a principle of Mahabharat!** However, these verses being contrary to what the author was searching (pornography) in the highly titillated state were ignored completely by the author.

The author is hell bent on proving that in Mahabharat and ancient times; there were no rules for sex. Anyone could be with anyone anywhere for this purpose. Sex was wayward… The author could not give a single proof of what he claimed. But here are some examples that prove otherwise:

(1) The man who is respected most in Mahabharat after Shri Krishna is Bhishma. Does author know why? Because he was a Brahmachari, a celibate, who was respected by Rishis and masses for his self-control. His vow- Bhishma Pratigya- of being Brahm-

achari is the greatest resolve in Mahabharat and even till today. It indicates that whatever the behaviour and conduct of common masses be, self-control was always considered as the greatest virtue in ancient Hindu history.

(2) Author, Bhagwad Geeta is the most popular literature known to humanity on spirituality and self/mind control. "Be the master of senses, not Slave" remains the core essence of Geeta. Coincidently, **Geeta is the part of Mahabharat- Bhishma Parva, same Mahabharat that, according to the author, taught author's ancestors to do sex with anyone anywhere!**

(3) The author says that there were no relations in the time of Mahabharat and anyone could *** anytime anywhere with anyone, even in front of everyone. But we all know the reality. The author does not know that **Arjun went into a self-imposed exile for few years during Vanvaas of Pandavas. Why? Because of the sin he committed. What sin? The sin of entering the room of Yudhishthira and Draupadi when they were discussing some matters alone! Since Arjun thought that he had violated the privacy of the two, he went to the**

exile of few years! Leave alone sex, standards of morality were so high in those times that Arjun considered it sin to even listen to the discussion of a couple. But the shameless Author found pornography in Mahabharat!

(4) In Mahabharat, no relations would matter in those times, sex culture was all pervading, says the author. However, the reality was diametrically opposite. When the king of Matsya Desh gave marriage proposal of his daughter Uttara to Arjun, Arjun denied forcefully and said that how can he marry Uttara, his disciple whom he has always considered as his daughter? Arjun, then, had Uttara married to his son Abhimanyu!

(5) People used to *** anywhere with anyone, says the author. However, he forgets why whole Mahabharat took place in the first place! Foundations of Mahabharat war were laid down the day Duhshasan dragged Draupadi in the court and tried to do what author foolishly believes was a custom in those days. Had it been a custom in those days to *** with anyone, anywhere, there would be no Mahabharat in the first place!

Allegation 3

Maharaja Ranjit Singh used to have open sex.

In modern history, it is described that Maharaja Ranjit Singh used to have sex in a place where his elephant used to be tied for everyone to see him. In the times of Bajirav, a game 'Ghatkancuki' used to play in Pune.

Also, some chosen elite men and women were made to have sex for others entertainment. The men used to first pull the women's dresses and then have sex with them in public. The sexual game would continue until every man would have slept with every woman.

Agniveer

Hatred of the author for Hindus-Sikhs is exposed. He/she started with ancient history and Mahabharat and suddenly jumped to Maharaja Ranjit Singh whom most of Hindus and Sikhs love so much. Moreover, no authoritative reference to this claim is given by the author. This time, an example is from Modern History. However, the author himself stated that "With the development of civilisation, relationships, social practices, and ethical standards developed." **Does it mean that these developments happened only in last 100 years?**

It is clear that fake references from equally dubious sources are being used to impose sexual perversion of the author on the Hindu culture. Even if the author can prove that in history, some X, Y or Z persons used to do A, B or C, that no way proves that A, B or C was commonplace and endorsed by religious norms.

In matters of Hinduism, the norm is Vedas. Unless something is proven there, it has no significance.

Allegation 4

In Karnataka, people used to organise group sex.

In Karnataka, until independence, people used to organise group sex. Here, age was not a concern at all. It is believed that in ancient times, Tamilians too used to have sex in front of everyone. One can find in Vedas that people used to have open group sex in Yajya Bhoomi.

Agniveer

Who in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu? In the USA, many people are in asylums. In Pakistan, many are throwing bombs. In Delhi, many rapes are happening.

What does that imply? Does it imply that mental diseases are common in the USA? Does it imply that all Pakistanis are terrorists as a rule? That most Delhites are rapists?

In the last line, a reference to Vedas is given. Kindly provide which verse in Vedas state so and also provide the evidence that your translation is correct. On the contrary, we can provide innumerable examples from Vedas that extol that women must be respected as a mother, self-control must be followed, monogamy must be a way of family, private matters must not be done in public.

Here are few Mantras from Vedas that expose author's lies.

- In Atharva Veda 7/38/4, wife says that "You should only be mine. You should not even discuss other women."
- Atharva Veda 3/30/2 and 14/2/64 appeal husband and wife to be devoted and faithful to each other.
- Rig Veda 10/105/8 compares the existence of multiple partners with multiple worldly miseries.

- Rig Veda 10/101/11 states that a person with two partners is pressed from both sides and weeps like a horse that neighs when pressed from both sides by spokes while driving a chariot.
- Rig Veda 10/101/11 state that two partners make life aimless.
- Atharva Veda 3/18/2 prays that may a woman never face the threat of another co-wife.

The author is suggested to stop searching for porn in religious texts. He may do it better elsewhere. Yes, if the author wants to know real meanings and importance of Vedas, Agniveer is ready to help.

Allegation 5

Incest is mentioned in Hindu text.

Kautbik sex (incest) is mentioned in ancient texts. It is mentioned 'Harivansh' that the daughter of sage Vasishta, Shatrupa believed him to be her husband and therefore used to have sex with him. In the same Grantha, it is mentioned that Daksha gave her daughter to his father, Brahmadev and Narada was born.

As mentioned in Haribhavishya, Indra Dev had sex with his great grandson's (Janmejay) wife, Vapushtma.

Agniveer

What is Harivansh? Which chapter and page of which book? What is Haribhavishya? The author does not even know names of books he is quoting. No such books exist in Hindu library.

Allegation 6

Ulupi asks Arjuna to satisfy a woman.

In Mahabharat's Adiparva, it is said that if an unmarried woman expresses her desire to have sex, it should be fulfilled. If her wish is not fulfilled, it means the death of religion. Ulupi clearly says to Arjuna that to satisfy a woman, it not against religion to sleep with her for one night.

Agniveer

Who is saying to whom in Mahabharat? Is it Lord Krishna saying in Geeta or Rishi Ved Vyas talking to the author? Mahabharat even has characters like Duryodhan, who said that women were his slaves. Does it mean that Mahabharat considers women as slaves? Just think of it. The author is referring to

Ulupi. Was Ulupi a sage to be able to state what is right and wrong? On the contrary, the author is referring to a woman who is mad in love and hence has her senses blurred to decide what is right or wrong.

If Dharma-Adharma were so clearly understood in Mahabharat era, how would one explain the role of Lord Krishna? Millions regard him as an incarnation to protect and establish Dharma. If everyone was so Dharmic, why would Krishna have been an incarnation?

Further, if free sex was so commonplace even in that era, why Arjun refused her wish? Why would Ulupi had to justify her stand? She would have done what she wanted to do without even mentioning a word and forgetting it later. Further, it should also be known that Ulupi belonged to current Mexico region and not Bharatvarsha. Different societies have different cultural norms over different periods of time.

History books would only tell you about people. For principles, one needs knowledge books like Vedas.

Allegation 7

Sage Agastya married his own daughter.

When Urvashi told Arjuna that if the Paurva Vansh'a any son or grandson wants to have sex with any woman of her family including her, it is not insulting religion. However, Arjuna did not accept it, and Urvashi called him impotent.

It is also mentioned in religious scriptures that sage Agastya had kept his daughter with the King of Vidarbha and when she reached the age of marriage, he married his own daughter.

Agniveer

Again is Urvashi a sage? She was supposed to be a dancing girl. This story proves exactly opposite to what author's pervert mind wants to infer. Arjun refused Urvashi's wish! This example is quoted in Mahabharat to showcase what ideal religion is – to consider women as mother irrespective of her status and profession – the way Arjun did. Even when Urvashi insulted Arjuna, he did not deter from his stand.

Arjuna stood to his stand of respect for women. He had to face the curse of Urvashi for this. Even then, he turned this curse into an opportunity. **Thus, the message is that under whatsoever pressure, one must respect women. Even if one has to face**

problems due to that, it will turn into an opportunity.

Which religious scripture is the second story from, is unknown. For Hindus, the only reliable texts are Vedas. Everything else is to be understood, interpreted and accepted in lines of Vedas. There is a mix of facts and allegories in Hindu texts. They should not be taken literally. The language, era, and society keep changing from time to time. However, what is important is that they all point to noble tenets of Vedas as elucidated in texts like Gita and Ishopanishad.

Allegation 8

A sexual conversation between Yam and Yami.

In the tenth Mandala of Rig Veda, the sexual conversation between Yam and Yami is mentioned. Here, Yami expresses her desire to have sex with her own brother. When Yam refuses to do so, she insists and says that a sister remaining unsatisfied despite her brother being around, so what is her brother's use?

This clearly signifies the sexual relationship between brothers and sisters in ancient times.

Agniveer

Firstly, Yam Yami is a deep concept referring to certain fundamental principles of nature applicable in all situations. They say that complementary entities emerging from the same source must not complement each other and instead seek to spread in the world. For example, if I have a shop selling orange juice at Rs 10, and my brother has a shop selling mango juice at Rs 10, we should not buy and sell from each other. In that case, each day we can keep drinking glasses of juice from each other and transfer only Rs 10!

This moral has been put in allegorical language in this Sukta. The essence is that regardless of whatsoever pressure, one must respect and protect the dignity of entities coming from the same source without using them for personal gains. What this Sukta signifies is that relationships between such entities (that also includes brother and sister) are strictly prohibited as per Vedas – oldest text and foundation of Hinduism.

"The beauty of Hinduism is that it does not impose blanket rules. Instead, it provides logical, intuitive reasons – the why – so that instead of being blind followers, each of us becomes an enlightened master."

This is what this Sukta aims. But perverts only see vulgarity is purest of things.

Even if this Sukta to be considered as a discussion of brother and sister, a BIG NO from brother concludes it all and establishes the rule that such relationships are not acceptable!

Allegation 9

Claim of the historian Cipeve Lerhuno.

About sexual relations, ancient historian Cipeve Lerhuno wrote that people used to occasionally have sex with their mothers, sisters and daughters.

Agniveer

Did this historian mention Hinduism ever? It is quite possible that he might have written about his family or society, and the author has superimposed his confessions on Hinduism for ulterior motives.

Who is this historian? We request readers to google this name and see what comes!

Anyway, why should we care about him? How is his word a gospel for us? Maybe the author is trying to defend some sins he may have committed in a

Freudian manner.

And now author writes- 'occasionally' which denies it to be a custom which is contrary to what he/she/… wrote in previous slides that anyone could do anything to anyone like animals, there were no relationships at all!

Allegation 10

As per Adiparva sex with any women is normal.

Mahabharata mentions in Adiparva that having sex with any women is not bad and is a normal behaviour. Relationships are names given to know people.

Agniveer

Who said to whom and in what context? This is a just blanket claim. I may say that Author mentioned in 2010 that he was a psychopath and should be thrashed whenever he denigrates Hinduism. Should we take my statement as seriously as the author wants us to take his vulgar allegations? Anyway, enough has been discussed on Mahabharat above.

Allegation 11

In Angdesh women and children were sold.

Duryodhan had made Karna the King of Angdesh. In this desh, woman and children were sold.

Agniveer

Is there any reference available? Neither Duryodhan nor Karna was supposed to be a sage. In fact, Duryodhan was considered the main villain of Mahabharat. If terrorist Ajmal Kasab wanted to kill Indians, that does not make all people in Indian-sub-continent terrorists.

If this is true, then this would be another reason Mahabharat happened. So say thanks to noble characters in Mahabharat for fighting against slavery instead of proving them and society guilty of same!

Allegation 12

Sex is a primitive instinct.

Clearly, regulation of sexual relations came into our society sequentially with the development of civilisation and culture. However, the primitive desire to have sex from time to time in humans is strengthened. That is why even today we hear about such sexual relations, which are illegal and immoral. Such relations are wrong to a civilised man, but it is also true that sex is a primitive instinct.

Agniveer

No denying the fact that sex is a primitive instinct. That is why Hindu Dharma aims to reform primitive savages into sensible humans who realise the purpose of their actions and act responsibly to maximise bliss for the entire world.

Agniveer questions the author

Why were only Hinduism and Sikhism targeted in the name of history?

What about other religions those have a far wider spread and a more thoroughly documented history in the modern and middle era?

Author hurled abuses on Hindu scriptures like Vedas and Mahabharat and role models like Arjun and Maharaja Ranjit Singh without a single reference. Why single out Hinduism and Sikhism for this affection? Why no analysis on other religions?

Does it not amount to religious fundamentalism to target one single religion – the religion of majority – in a secular country and allow no space for other religions?

Do you think attacking Hinduism in India is a nice

job to do since Hinduism neither has apostates nor infidels nor blasphemy laws?

Does all this not smell of bias and hatred against Hinduism?

Please note that I do not intend to target any religion. Agniveer respects all individuals and their freedom to choose and respects whatever faith they prefer for themselves.

After all, Hinduism, by its very nature, is a forgiving and tolerant faith open to harshest criticism.

But be brave, not coward. Respect the culture that gives you freedom. Love it like Mother.

May the truth prevail!

About Author

Sanjeev Newar is a Yogic scholar of Vedas, Gita and Hinduism. He has written several popular books on Vedas, Yoga, spirituality and misconceptions on Hinduism. He is the founder of Agniveer - a spiritual movement that works for equality of castes, genders, regions and religions in India and abroad. He is pioneer of Hindu Ekta Yajna initiative to bring equality across all regions and castes. He is an eloquent poet, orator and motivational expert who works to address suicidal or depressive tendencies. He is an alumnus of IIT-IIM, and a noted data scientist specializing in Risk Management. He considers casteism or birth-based caste system as anti-Hindu and has a mission to replace it with Vedic system of merit.

About Agniveer

Agniveer was founded by Shri Sanjeev Newar, an IIT-IIM professional, data scientist, and Yogi to provide a solution-oriented, spiritually driven, and honest approach to improving the world - within and outside an individual. Agniveer specializes in practical applications of timeless wisdom of Vedas, Geeta, and Yoga to address the contemporary challenges of life. Thousands of testimonials of transformation - from people who were on verge of committing suicide, fighting depression, confused about life, direction-less, unable to address social injustice around - attest the massive change it has been able to bring.

Agniveer takes credit in bringing several ignored, uncomfortable but critical issues to public attention. Agniveer is the leading advocate of social equality in India and pioneer of 'Dalit Yajna' initiative to break caste and gender barriers. Agniveer spearheaded the Muslim women rights campaign facing severe backlash from conservative and fanatic elements. Yet, it was successful in bringing details of disgusting practices like Halala, sex-slavery, polygamy, triple talaq and love jihad to limelight and evolving a consensus against them. Agniveer women helpline deals with such cases

and has brought many smiles.

Agniveer also introduced the concept of unarmed combat workshops across sensitive parts of country to create a skilled team that is able to defend vulnerable from criminals. Agniveer is a prominent champion of de-radicalization and has brought innumerable youth to join the mainstream path. Agniveer's narrative on history has created a significant momentum to question the authenticity of populist history taught out of political compulsions.

Agniveer has published several books on social equality, caste equality, gender equality, human rights, the controversial religious rights and history, apart from books on self-help, Yoga, Hinduism, and life-hacks. Readers appreciate the books for straightforward, original, solution-oriented, practical, fresh, and mind-bending experience.

Everyone keen to live a meaningful life to fullest is welcome to join or support Agniveer mission.

To know more about us, kindly visit

Website: www.agniveer.com

Facebook: www.facebook.com/agniveeragni

Youtube: www.youtube.com/agniveer

Twitter: www.twitter.com/agniveer

To join us to be part of Agniveer, please fill our membership form: www.agniveer.com/membership-form/

To contribute to Agniveer, kindly make payment through

Payment page: www.agniveer.com/pay

Paypal: give@agniveer.com

To purchase other books from Agniveer, please visit: www.agniveer.com/books

AGNIVEER

SERVING NATION | PROTECTING DHARMA